RIBAB: Review of Islamic Business Arbitration
ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1 No 1 (2026): May 2026
https://journal.eduglobal.ac.id/index.php/ribab/index
Email: ribabeditor@gmail.com

Digital Transformation Of Islamic Business Arbitration In The Era Of Fintech And Cross Border Transactions

[1]Muh Ibnu Sholeh
[1]STAI Kh Muhammad Ali Shodiq Tulunaggung, Indonesia.
[1]indocelllular@gmail.com.

*Correspondence Email: indocelllular@gmail.com.

Abstract: *The rapid expansion of financial technology has reshaped global financial systems, including the Islamic finance industry. Digital payment systems, blockchain technology, and online financial platforms have expanded the scope of Islamic commercial transactions across national boundaries. This transformation also generates new forms of legal disputes that require adaptive and efficient dispute resolution mechanisms. Islamic business arbitration has historically functioned as an alternative dispute resolution system grounded in Sharia principles such as justice, mutual agreement, and fairness in commercial dealings. The emergence of fintech-based transactions challenges conventional arbitration procedures that rely on traditional documentation and face-to-face hearings. This study investigates the transformation of Islamic business arbitration within the context of fintech innovation and cross-border financial activities. The research applies a qualitative normative legal approach by examining regulatory frameworks, institutional arbitration practices, and emerging digital dispute resolution technologies. Findings indicate that digital arbitration mechanisms such as online dispute resolution platforms, blockchain-based evidence management, and smart contract verification can enhance transparency, accessibility, and efficiency in resolving Islamic commercial disputes. Several structural challenges remain, including regulatory divergence across jurisdictions, Sharia governance considerations, cybersecurity risks, and recognition of digital arbitration outcomes. Strengthening institutional collaboration between regulators, arbitration bodies, fintech developers, and Sharia scholars becomes essential for establishing credible and globally applicable digital Islamic arbitration systems.*

Keywords: *Islamic Business Arbitration, Online Dispute Resolution, Digital Arbitration, Cross-Border Transactions, Islamic Finance*

INTRODUCTION

The expansion of Islamic finance has accelerated significantly during the last two decades, driven by globalization and technological innovation in financial services. Digital transformation has reshaped the financial landscape through the emergence of financial technology (fintech), which introduces new platforms for conducting financial transactions, facilitating payments, managing investments, and expanding financial inclusion across regions. Islamic financial services increasingly operate through digital infrastructures such as mobile banking systems, peer-to-peer financing platforms, crowdfunding applications, and blockchain-based financial instruments. These technological developments allow Islamic financial institutions and individual investors to

RIBAB: Review of Islamic Business Arbitration
ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1 No 1 (2026): May 2026
https://journal.eduglobal.ac.id/index.php/ribab/index
Email: ribabeditor@gmail.com

participate in financial markets that extend beyond national boundaries. The digitalization of financial services also contributes to the growing integration of Islamic finance into the global economic system (Asyiqin, 2025).

The rapid growth of digital financial ecosystems contributes to the increasing volume of cross-border transactions within Islamic finance. Financial contracts may involve parties located in different jurisdictions, each operating under distinct regulatory frameworks and legal traditions. The diversity of legal systems and regulatory regimes often creates complexity in contractual relationships, particularly when disputes arise between transnational actors. Disagreements may emerge regarding payment obligations, contractual interpretation, compliance with Sharia principles, or accountability of digital financial platforms. Efficient dispute resolution mechanisms become crucial for maintaining trust, stability, and predictability within the Islamic financial ecosystem (Febrian, 2025).

Islamic business arbitration represents one of the most widely recognized mechanisms for resolving disputes in Islamic commercial transactions. The concept of arbitration originates from the classical Islamic legal tradition known as *tahkim*, which allows disputing parties to appoint neutral arbitrators to resolve conflicts based on fairness and mutual consent. Islamic arbitration emphasizes principles of justice (*adl*), fulfillment of contractual obligations (*wafa bil 'uqud*), and peaceful settlement of disputes within commercial relationships. These values form the ethical foundation of Islamic commercial law and contribute to the legitimacy of arbitration as a dispute resolution mechanism within Muslim societies (Al-Qurashi, 2004).

Historically, arbitration has played an important role in resolving commercial disputes among Muslim merchants and trading communities. Islamic legal scholarship recognizes arbitration as a legitimate mechanism supported by the primary sources of Islamic law, including the Qur'an, the Sunnah, consensus (*ijma'*), and analogical reasoning (*qiyas*). The flexibility of arbitration procedures allows parties to select arbitrators who possess expertise in Islamic commercial jurisprudence, which enhances the credibility and legitimacy of dispute resolution outcomes in Islamic financial transactions (Widjaja, 2025).

In contemporary Islamic finance, arbitration is increasingly favored over litigation due to its procedural efficiency and confidentiality. Court-based litigation often requires lengthy administrative processes, high transaction costs, and complex jurisdictional procedures. Arbitration offers greater flexibility by allowing parties to determine procedural rules, select specialized arbitrators, and maintain confidentiality in sensitive commercial disputes. These characteristics make arbitration particularly attractive for resolving disputes arising in Islamic banking, Islamic capital markets, and international Islamic financial transactions (Hassan, 2020).

Technological transformation introduces new dimensions into arbitration practices. Fintech-based transactions generate digital records, automated contractual systems, and decentralized financial infrastructures that operate outside traditional financial intermediaries. Blockchain technology, smart contracts, and digital payment networks create financial interactions that occur in real time across national borders. These developments challenge conventional arbitration systems that were originally designed for disputes involving physical documentation and centralized institutional structures. Arbitration institutions must adapt their procedures to address disputes involving electronic evidence, digital assets, and technologically complex financial contracts (Nurhayati, 2022).

Digital financial environments also encourage the emergence of new dispute resolution models based on technological infrastructures. Online dispute resolution (ODR) platforms allow parties to submit claims, exchange evidence, conduct hearings, and receive arbitration decisions through digital communication systems. The use of ODR reduces geographical barriers and procedural delays that often characterize international dispute resolution processes. Digital platforms enable faster and more accessible dispute resolution mechanisms, particularly for transactions conducted through online financial systems and digital marketplaces (Tektona & Rachmawati, 2020).

The adoption of digital dispute resolution mechanisms in Islamic finance raises several governance questions. The compatibility of digital arbitration procedures with Sharia principles remains an important issue for regulators, arbitration institutions, and Islamic legal scholars. Islamic commercial transactions require compliance with ethical principles such as transparency, fairness, and prohibition of unjust enrichment. Digital arbitration systems must ensure that technological processes do not undermine these foundational principles. Institutional governance

RIBAB: Review of Islamic Business Arbitration
ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1 No 1 (2026): May 2026
https://journal.eduglobal.ac.id/index.php/ribab/index
Email: ribabeditor@gmail.com
structures that incorporate Sharia supervisory boards may provide mechanisms for ensuring compliance between technological innovation and Islamic legal norms (Setyowati, 2021).

The growing intersection between fintech innovation and Islamic dispute resolution also highlights the need for regulatory harmonization across jurisdictions. Cross-border transactions involve financial actors operating within different legal environments, which may create uncertainty regarding the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards. Differences in national regulatory frameworks and interpretations of Islamic commercial law can influence the effectiveness of arbitration outcomes. Strengthening international cooperation among arbitration institutions, financial regulators, and Islamic legal scholars becomes essential for developing coherent digital arbitration frameworks that can operate within the global Islamic financial ecosystem (Lita, 2024).

The digital transformation of Islamic business arbitration represents an important research area within contemporary Islamic finance studies. Understanding how arbitration institutions adapt to technological change contributes to the development of dispute resolution mechanisms capable of addressing emerging challenges in digital financial transactions. This study examines the digital transformation of Islamic business arbitration in the context of fintech innovation and cross-border commercial activities. The analysis focuses on technological developments influencing arbitration practices, institutional responses to digital financial disputes, and regulatory challenges associated with implementing digital arbitration frameworks in Islamic finance.

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative normative legal research approach to analyze the transformation of Islamic business arbitration in the era of financial technology and cross-border transactions. Normative legal research focuses on examining legal norms, principles, and regulatory frameworks that govern legal institutions and dispute resolution mechanisms. This approach is widely used in legal scholarship to evaluate how legal systems respond to social, economic, and technological developments (Hutchinson & Duncan, 2012). Within this research, normative analysis is used to assess how arbitration law and Islamic commercial law interact with emerging digital financial technologies.

The research adopts a doctrinal legal analysis that emphasizes the interpretation and systematic examination of legal doctrines governing arbitration and Islamic commercial transactions. Doctrinal legal research allows scholars to analyze legal concepts by studying legislation, institutional rules, and authoritative legal interpretations. This method is particularly relevant for examining arbitration systems because arbitration operates within a framework of international conventions, institutional rules, and national legal systems (Born, 2021). Through doctrinal analysis, the study evaluates how legal norms governing arbitration can accommodate disputes arising from fintech-based financial transactions.

Primary legal materials serve as the main sources of analysis in this research. These materials include international arbitration regulations, arbitration institutional rules, Islamic finance regulatory frameworks, and international legal instruments related to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards. One of the most influential legal instruments in international arbitration is the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, widely known as the New York Convention. This convention provides the legal basis for enforcing arbitration decisions across jurisdictions and plays a central role in facilitating dispute resolution in international commercial transactions (Born, 2021).

Secondary sources are used to support the legal analysis by providing theoretical perspectives and scholarly discussions related to Islamic finance, dispute resolution mechanisms, fintech regulation, and digital governance. These sources include peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, institutional reports, and policy papers addressing the development of financial technology and its implications for legal regulation. Scholarly literature on fintech regulation highlights the importance of adaptive legal frameworks capable of responding to technological innovation and digital financial services (Zetzsche et al., 2020).

The data analysis process follows a thematic legal analysis framework. Legal texts and academic discussions are systematically reviewed to identify key themes related to digital arbitration, fintech-driven commercial disputes, and regulatory governance in Islamic finance. Thematic analysis helps reveal patterns in institutional responses to technological developments and provides insight into how arbitration institutions adapt their procedures to digital financial environments (Hutchinson & Duncan, 2012).

A comparative analytical perspective is also applied to examine differences between conventional arbitration procedures and emerging digital dispute resolution mechanisms. This comparison enables the evaluation of institutional adaptation to technological change, particularly the adoption of online dispute resolution platforms and digital evidence management systems. The analysis also considers the compatibility of these technological innovations with the ethical and legal foundations of Islamic commercial law, which emphasize fairness, contractual responsibility, and compliance with Sharia principles in business transactions (Zetzsche et al., 2020).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Fintech Expansion and the Growth of Cross-Border Islamic Transactions

Financial technology (fintech) has significantly reshaped the operational structure of financial services in global markets, including the Islamic finance sector. The integration of digital technologies into financial systems enables faster, more accessible, and more efficient financial transactions. Islamic financial institutions increasingly rely on digital platforms to provide financing services, investment products, payment systems, and asset management tools. Mobile banking applications, peer-to-peer financing platforms, crowdfunding systems, and blockchain-based financial infrastructures facilitate financial interaction among participants located in different regions and jurisdictions (Arner et al., 2016).

The adoption of fintech technologies also contributes to the rapid expansion of cross-border Islamic financial transactions. Digital financial platforms allow investors, entrepreneurs, and financial institutions to engage in commercial relationships without being restricted by geographical boundaries. As a result, Islamic financial transactions increasingly occur within a global digital ecosystem that connects multiple financial markets. This development supports the growth of Islamic financial inclusion while enabling broader participation in international economic activities (Hassan et al., 2022).

The digital environment significantly reduces geographical barriers that previously limited financial transactions. Businesses and individual investors can access Islamic financial services through online platforms that operate across different regulatory jurisdictions. These platforms provide mechanisms for financing, investment, and payment processing that rely heavily on digital documentation and automated financial systems. Blockchain technology and smart contracts further enhance transparency and efficiency by providing secure digital records of financial transactions (Nabilou & Prüm, 2020).

Despite the benefits of digital financial integration, cross-border fintech transactions also introduce complex legal challenges. Financial contracts executed through digital platforms often involve parties located in different legal jurisdictions, each governed by distinct regulatory frameworks and legal traditions. Differences in national financial regulations, contract law, and dispute resolution procedures can create uncertainty when disputes arise. This complexity becomes more pronounced in Islamic finance, where transactions must also comply with Sharia principles governing commercial conduct (Oseni & Ali, 2019).

Disputes arising from fintech-based Islamic financial transactions may involve various issues, including payment delays, breaches of digital contracts, platform governance failures, cybersecurity incidents, and disagreements concerning Sharia compliance. Digital financial platforms may also create disputes related to data integrity, algorithmic decision-making, and automated contractual execution. These issues demonstrate the evolving nature of financial disputes within digital economic environments (Haddad & Hornuf, 2019).

Traditional litigation processes often face limitations in addressing these disputes effectively. Court-based litigation may require lengthy procedures, complex jurisdictional determinations, and high legal costs, particularly in cases involving transnational financial transactions. Judicial systems may also lack technical expertise required to interpret complex digital financial technologies such as blockchain systems or smart contracts. These constraints reduce the efficiency of traditional litigation in resolving disputes within rapidly evolving fintech ecosystems (Nabilou & Prüm, 2020).

Arbitration institutions increasingly play an important role in addressing disputes arising from digital financial transactions. Arbitration offers procedural flexibility, confidentiality, and neutrality, which are particularly valuable in cross-border commercial disputes. Parties involved in Islamic financial contracts often prefer arbitration because it allows them to select arbitrators with expertise in both commercial law and Islamic jurisprudence. This flexibility strengthens the legitimacy and credibility of dispute resolution outcomes within Islamic finance (Oseni & Ali, 2019).

In addition, arbitration provides a dispute resolution framework that is widely recognized within international commercial law. Arbitration awards can be enforced in multiple jurisdictions through international legal instruments such as the New York Convention, which enhances the effectiveness of arbitration in cross-border disputes. The growing reliance on arbitration institutions demonstrates how the dispute resolution landscape adapts to the digital transformation of financial markets.

The expansion of fintech within Islamic finance thus creates both opportunities and challenges for dispute resolution systems. While digital platforms increase financial accessibility and efficiency, they also generate complex legal relationships that require specialized mechanisms for resolving disputes. Arbitration institutions play a crucial role in addressing these challenges by providing flexible and internationally recognized dispute resolution frameworks capable of responding to technological transformation within the global Islamic financial ecosystem.

Digitalization of Arbitration Procedures

Technological developments in financial services have encouraged the emergence of digital arbitration mechanisms designed to accommodate disputes arising from fintech environments. The increasing reliance on digital financial infrastructures has transformed the way contractual relationships are formed, executed, and monitored. Arbitration institutions have begun to adapt their procedures by integrating digital technologies that facilitate more efficient dispute resolution processes in cross-border commercial activities. Digital arbitration systems enable parties to resolve disputes through technologically supported platforms while maintaining procedural fairness and confidentiality (Katsh & Rabinovich-Einy, 2017).

Online Dispute Resolution Platforms

Online dispute resolution (ODR) platforms represent one of the most significant technological developments in contemporary arbitration practices. These platforms enable arbitration procedures to take place entirely within digital environments. Parties involved in disputes can submit claims electronically, upload documentary evidence, exchange legal submissions, and participate in virtual hearings through secure digital communication systems.

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1 No 1 (2026): May 2026
https://journal.eduglobal.ac.id/index.php/ribab/index
Email: ribabeditor@gmail.com

Arbitrators evaluate the evidence and deliver arbitral awards through encrypted digital documentation platforms that ensure the integrity and confidentiality of the arbitration process (Rule, 2020).

The use of ODR platforms offers several advantages in international commercial arbitration. Digital platforms significantly reduce procedural delays that often arise from logistical challenges such as travel arrangements, scheduling conflicts, and physical document exchange. Participants from different jurisdictions can engage in arbitration proceedings without geographical limitations, which reduces costs associated with international dispute resolution. Increased accessibility also benefits smaller financial actors, including fintech startups and small enterprises, that may lack the financial resources to participate in traditional arbitration proceedings (Schmitz & Tyler, 2019).

The relevance of ODR platforms has grown particularly rapidly in financial disputes involving digital platforms and cross-border transactions. Arbitration institutions increasingly recognize that digital dispute resolution mechanisms can improve procedural efficiency while preserving the neutrality and flexibility traditionally associated with arbitration systems.

Blockchain-Based Evidence Systems

Blockchain technology introduces new possibilities for managing digital evidence within arbitration proceedings. Blockchain systems operate as decentralized digital ledgers that record transactions in a secure and immutable manner. Each transaction is verified through cryptographic mechanisms and stored permanently within the distributed network, which makes data manipulation extremely difficult. These characteristics provide a reliable source of evidence in disputes involving digital financial transactions (Werbach, 2018).

Financial transactions recorded on blockchain networks provide transparent documentation of contractual performance, payment execution, and ownership of digital assets. In fintech environments, blockchain records may contain detailed information about transaction timelines, digital signatures, and automated contractual actions. Arbitration panels can examine these records when evaluating disputes arising from fintech-based financial agreements.

The reliability of blockchain data reduces evidentiary uncertainty in arbitration proceedings. Traditional arbitration processes often require extensive verification of documentary evidence submitted by disputing parties. Blockchain-based records provide an independent and tamperresistant source of information that helps arbitrators determine the sequence and authenticity of financial transactions. This capability supports more efficient and transparent dispute resolution processes in digital financial environments (De Filippi & Wright, 2018).

Smart Contract Verification in Arbitration

Smart contracts represent another important technological innovation influencing arbitration practices. Smart contracts are automated agreements embedded within blockchain infrastructures that execute contractual obligations through programmed instructions. These digital contracts activate automatically when predefined conditions are fulfilled, enabling transactions to occur without direct human intervention. Smart contracts are increasingly used in financial transactions involving digital assets, decentralized finance platforms, and cross-border digital payment systems (Clack et al., 2016).

The automation of contractual obligations through smart contracts introduces new challenges for dispute resolution mechanisms. Disputes may arise when parties disagree about the interpretation of contractual code, the accuracy of automated execution, or the external conditions that trigger contractual actions. Arbitration processes involving smart contracts require technical expertise capable of interpreting digital contractual codes and analyzing blockchain transaction records.

Arbitration institutions addressing disputes related to smart contracts may incorporate technological specialists alongside legal experts in arbitration panels. This interdisciplinary approach allows arbitrators to evaluate both the legal validity of contractual agreements and the technical functioning of digital contractual systems. The integration of technological expertise into arbitration procedures reflects the broader transformation of dispute resolution mechanisms in response to the digitalization of financial markets (Casey & Niblett, 2017).

The digitalization of arbitration procedures demonstrates how dispute resolution institutions adapt to technological transformation in global financial systems. Online dispute resolution platforms, blockchain-based evidence systems, and smart contract verification mechanisms collectively contribute to the development of more efficient and technologically responsive arbitration frameworks capable of addressing disputes within fintech-driven financial environments.

Governance and Institutional Challenges

The digital transformation of arbitration introduces several governance and institutional challenges within Islamic finance dispute resolution systems. As financial transactions increasingly occur through digital platforms and decentralized technologies, arbitration institutions must adapt their legal and institutional frameworks to address emerging regulatory, ethical, and technological issues. The integration of fintech technologies into dispute resolution processes raises questions related to regulatory coordination, Sharia compliance, cybersecurity protection, and the enforceability of arbitration outcomes in cross-border contexts (Cafaggi & Micklitz, 2018).

Regulatory Divergence

One of the most significant challenges in digital arbitration arises from regulatory divergence across jurisdictions. Different countries apply distinct legal frameworks governing arbitration, financial services, and digital technologies. These variations create legal uncertainty when disputes involve parties operating under different regulatory regimes. In fintech environments, cross-border transactions frequently involve digital platforms that operate simultaneously in multiple jurisdictions, which complicates the determination of applicable legal rules and dispute resolution procedures (Arner et al., 2017).

The lack of harmonized regulatory frameworks may affect the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards issued through digital arbitration processes. Some jurisdictions may not fully recognize electronic arbitration procedures or digital evidence as legally binding. This situation creates potential obstacles for enforcing arbitration decisions in cross-border disputes. Regulatory coordination between jurisdictions becomes essential for ensuring legal certainty and promoting the effective use of arbitration in international fintech transactions (Cafaggi & Micklitz, 2018).

Sharia Governance Considerations

Islamic commercial transactions require strict adherence to Sharia principles that regulate ethical conduct in business activities. These principles include fairness, transparency, prohibition of interest (*riba*), avoidance of excessive uncertainty (*gharar*), and the promotion of mutual benefit between contracting parties. The digitalization of financial transactions introduces new challenges for ensuring that arbitration procedures remain consistent with these foundational principles of Islamic commercial law (Ayub, 2017).

Digital arbitration mechanisms must incorporate governance structures capable of evaluating the Sharia compliance of financial contracts and dispute resolution outcomes. Arbitration institutions operating within Islamic finance environments often establish Sharia advisory boards composed of scholars specializing in Islamic jurisprudence. These boards review contractual structures, financial instruments, and arbitration decisions to ensure alignment with Islamic legal principles. The involvement of Sharia scholars strengthens the legitimacy and credibility of arbitration outcomes within Islamic financial markets (Dusuki & Abdullah, 2007).

Cybersecurity and Data Protection

Digital arbitration platforms depend heavily on secure information infrastructures that enable electronic communication, document exchange, and virtual hearings. These systems handle sensitive financial data, confidential contractual documentation, and arbitration deliberations that require strict protection from unauthorized access. Cybersecurity risks such as data breaches, identity theft, and system manipulation could undermine the reliability of digital arbitration systems if appropriate safeguards are not implemented (Kshetri, 2017).

The protection of digital arbitration platforms requires robust cybersecurity frameworks that integrate encryption technologies, secure authentication systems, and advanced data protection protocols. Financial regulators and arbitration institutions increasingly recognize the importance of cybersecurity governance in maintaining trust within digital financial ecosystems. Effective cybersecurity policies also contribute to protecting the confidentiality and integrity of arbitration proceedings, which remain fundamental principles in international commercial arbitration (Gomber et al., 2018).

Enforcement of Arbitration Outcomes

The recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards remain central to the effectiveness of arbitration systems in international commerce. Arbitration derives much of its legitimacy from the enforceability of arbitral awards across jurisdictions. In digital financial environments, disputes often involve parties located in different countries with varying legal systems. This diversity may create challenges when national courts interpret arbitration outcomes differently or apply distinct legal standards for recognizing arbitration decisions (Moses, 2017).

RIBAB: Review of Islamic Business Arbitration
ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1 No 1 (2026): May 2026
https://journal.eduglobal.ac.id/index.php/ribab/index
Email: ribabeditor@gmail.com

Cross-border disputes involving fintech platforms may also introduce new legal questions regarding the validity of digital evidence, automated contractual execution, and electronically conducted arbitration procedures. Institutional cooperation between arbitration bodies, financial regulators, and national judicial systems becomes essential for strengthening the enforceability of arbitration decisions in digital financial contexts. International legal instruments such as arbitration conventions and model arbitration laws continue to play an important role in supporting the global recognition of arbitration outcomes (Moses, 2017).

The governance and institutional challenges associated with digital arbitration highlight the need for adaptive regulatory frameworks capable of responding to technological innovation within financial markets. Effective governance structures that integrate regulatory coordination, Sharia supervision, cybersecurity protection, and international legal cooperation will play a crucial role in ensuring the reliability and legitimacy of digital arbitration systems in Islamic finance.

Toward a Digital Islamic Arbitration Ecosystem

The transformation of arbitration practices in the digital era suggests the gradual emergence of a digital Islamic arbitration ecosystem that integrates technological infrastructure with Islamic legal governance. The rapid expansion of fintech-based financial services has created complex commercial relationships that require adaptive dispute resolution mechanisms. Arbitration institutions are increasingly required to modernize their procedures by incorporating digital technologies that enable efficient, transparent, and accessible dispute resolution processes. The development of such an ecosystem involves the interaction of multiple stakeholders, including arbitration institutions, fintech developers, regulatory authorities, and Sharia scholars, who collectively shape institutional frameworks capable of addressing disputes arising in digital financial environments (Ahmed, 2019).

The concept of a digital arbitration ecosystem reflects the growing need for integrated institutional systems that combine legal governance, technological innovation, and ethical standards within Islamic financial markets. Islamic finance operates within a normative framework that emphasizes justice, transparency, and contractual responsibility in commercial transactions. As financial activities increasingly occur through digital platforms, dispute resolution mechanisms

RIBAB: Review of Islamic Business Arbitration
ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1 No 1 (2026): May 2026
https://journal.eduglobal.ac.id/index.php/ribab/index
Email: ribabeditor@gmail.com
must also evolve to maintain the integrity and legitimacy of Islamic financial practices (El-Gamal, 2006).

Institutional collaboration plays a central role in the development of digital arbitration platforms specifically designed to resolve disputes within Islamic commercial transactions. Arbitration institutions may cooperate with fintech developers to design digital platforms that facilitate online dispute resolution processes. These platforms can integrate electronic case management systems, secure digital communication tools, and digital documentation systems that enable arbitrators and disputing parties to interact effectively within virtual environments. The digitalization of arbitration platforms enhances procedural efficiency while maintaining the confidentiality and neutrality that characterize arbitration proceedings (Abdel Wahab, Katsh, & Rainey, 2012).

Digital arbitration platforms designed for Islamic financial disputes may also incorporate Sharia governance mechanisms that ensure compliance with Islamic legal principles. The inclusion of Sharia supervisory structures allows arbitration institutions to review financial contracts and dispute outcomes in accordance with Islamic jurisprudence. This governance mechanism strengthens confidence among market participants by ensuring that dispute resolution processes align with the ethical and legal foundations of Islamic commercial law. Sharia review processes may also contribute to the development of standardized guidelines for resolving disputes related to Islamic financial contracts in digital environments (Smolo & Hassan, 2011).

In addition to Sharia compliance mechanisms, digital arbitration ecosystems increasingly rely on technological tools capable of verifying digital evidence and managing complex financial data. Blockchain-based verification systems, digital signature technologies, and automated case management systems enable arbitration panels to examine electronic documentation with greater reliability and efficiency. The ability to verify digital records and financial transactions contributes to more transparent arbitration procedures, particularly in disputes involving fintech platforms and decentralized financial technologies (Zetzsche, Arner, & Buckley, 2020).

Secure communication infrastructures also represent a critical component of digital arbitration ecosystems. Arbitration proceedings often involve sensitive financial information, confidential contractual documents, and private deliberations among arbitrators. Digital arbitration platforms must incorporate strong cybersecurity protocols, encrypted communication channels, and secure data storage systems to protect the confidentiality and integrity of arbitration processes. Effective digital infrastructure helps maintain trust among disputing parties and ensures that arbitration remains a reliable dispute resolution mechanism within digital financial environments (Arner, Buckley, Zetzsche, & Veidt, 2020).

The development of a digital Islamic arbitration ecosystem also requires regulatory support and institutional coordination at both national and international levels. Financial regulators, arbitration institutions, and international organizations play important roles in establishing regulatory frameworks that recognize digital arbitration procedures and ensure the enforceability of arbitration outcomes. Regulatory clarity supports the adoption of digital arbitration technologies while maintaining the legal certainty necessary for cross-border financial transactions.

The emergence of such an ecosystem demonstrates the evolving relationship between technology, law, and Islamic financial governance. By integrating technological innovation with Islamic legal principles, digital arbitration systems have the potential to strengthen dispute resolution mechanisms within the global Islamic financial industry. The continued development of institutional collaboration, regulatory alignment, and technological infrastructure will shape the future effectiveness of digital arbitration frameworks in addressing disputes arising from fintech-driven financial activities.

CONCLUSION

The digital transformation of Islamic business arbitration reflects the broader evolution of Islamic finance within an increasingly interconnected and technology-driven global economy. The expansion of fintech and cross-border financial transactions has introduced new forms of commercial relationships that require adaptive dispute resolution mechanisms. Digital arbitration procedures, including online dispute resolution platforms, blockchain-based evidence systems, and smart contract verification, demonstrate the capacity of arbitration institutions to respond to technological change while preserving the fundamental principles of fairness, neutrality, and efficiency in dispute settlement. These innovations provide practical tools for addressing disputes arising from digital financial transactions and enhance accessibility for parties operating across different jurisdictions.

Despite these opportunities, the transition toward digital arbitration also presents important governance and institutional challenges. Regulatory divergence across jurisdictions, the need to ensure Sharia compliance in digital financial contracts, cybersecurity risks, and the enforcement of arbitral awards remain key issues that require coordinated institutional responses. Strengthening collaboration among arbitration institutions, financial regulators, fintech developers, and Sharia scholars will be essential for developing reliable digital arbitration frameworks. The establishment of an integrated digital Islamic arbitration ecosystem can enhance legal certainty, support sustainable growth in Islamic finance, and ensure that dispute resolution mechanisms remain consistent with the ethical and legal foundations of Islamic commercial law in the digital era.

REFERENCE

Abdel Wahab, M., Katsh, E., & Rainey, D. (2012). *Online dispute resolution: Theory and practice*. Eleven International Publishing.

Ahmed, H. (2019). Fintech in Islamic finance: Opportunities, challenges and policy implications. *Thunderbird International Business Review, 61*(1), 115–128. https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.21976

Al-Qurashi, Z. (2004). Arbitration under Islamic Sharia. *Transnational Dispute Management*.

Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2016). The evolution of fintech: A new post-crisis paradigm. *Georgetown Journal of International Law, 47*(4), 1271–1319. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2676553

Arner, D. W., Buckley, R. P., Zetzsche, D. A., & Veidt, R. (2020). Sustainability, fintech and financial inclusion. *European Business Organization Law Review, 21*(1), 7–35. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40804-020-00183-y

Asyiqin, I. Z. (2025). Islamic economic law in the digital age navigating global fintech challenges. *Journal of Islamic Monetary Economics and Finance*.

Ayub, M. (2017). *Understanding Islamic finance*. Wiley Finance.

Born, G. B. (2021). *International commercial arbitration* (3rd ed.). Kluwer Law International.

Cafaggi, F., & Micklitz, H. W. (2018). Administrative and judicial collective enforcement of consumer law in the US and the European Community. *European Review of Private Law, 26*(1), 1–26.

Casey, A. J., & Niblett, A. (2017). Self-driving contracts. *University of Toronto Law Journal, 67*(4), 1–35. https://doi.org/10.3138/utlj.2017-0021

Clack, C. D., Bakshi, V. A., & Braine, L. (2016). Smart contract templates: Foundations, design landscape and research directions. *arXiv*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1608.00771

De Filippi, P., & Wright, A. (2018). *Blockchain and the law: The rule of code*. Harvard University Press. https://doi.org/10.4159/9780674985926

Dusuki, A. W., & Abdullah, N. I. (2007). Maqasid al-Shariah, maslahah and corporate social responsibility. *American Journal of Islamic Social Sciences, 24*(1), 25–45. https://doi.org/10.35632/ajis.v24i1.415

El-Gamal, M. A. (2006). *Islamic finance: Law, economics, and practice*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511753732

Febrian, S. I. Y. (2025). Legal frameworks and dispute resolution mechanisms in fintech regulation. *Regulatory Horizon Journal*.

Gomber, P., Koch, J. A., & Siering, M. (2018). Digital finance and fintech: Current research and future research directions. *Journal of Business Economics, 87*(5), 537–580. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11573-017-0852-x

Haddad, C., & Hornuf, L. (2019). The emergence of the global fintech market: Economic and technological determinants. *Small Business Economics, 53*(1), 81–105. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-018-9991-x

Hassan, M. K., Rabbani, M. R., & Mahmood, H. (2022). Challenges for the Islamic finance and banking in post COVID era and the role of fintech. *Journal of Economic Cooperation and Development, 43*(3), 1–28.

Hutchinson, T., & Duncan, N. (2012). Defining and describing what we do: Doctrinal legal research. *Deakin Law Review, 17*(1), 83–119. https://doi.org/10.21153/dlr2012vol17no1art70

Katsh, E., & Rabinovich-Einy, O. (2017). *Digital justice: Technology and the internet of disputes*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190464585.001.0001

Kshetri, N. (2017). Blockchain's roles in strengthening cybersecurity and protecting privacy. *Telecommunications Policy, 41*(10), 1027–1038. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2017.09.003

Lita, H. N. (2024). Choice of Islamic law in settlement of international economic disputes. *Law and Sustainable Legal Review*.

Moses, M. L. (2017). *The principles and practice of international commercial arbitration* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316996768

Nabilou, H., & Prüm, A. (2020). Ignorance, debt and financial crises: The rise of fintech and regulatory challenges. *Journal of Banking Regulation, 21*(2), 123–141. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41261-019-00101-9

Nurhayati, S. (2022). Opportunities and challenges of resolving fintech disputes through alternative dispute resolution. *Batulis Civil Law Review*, 3(2). https://doi.org/10.47268/ballrev.v3i2.929

Oseni, U. A., & Ali, S. N. (2019). Fintech in Islamic finance: Theory and practice. *Palgrave Macmillan*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-20763-0

Rule, C. (2020). Online dispute resolution and the future of justice. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science, 16*, 277–292. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-lawsocsci-102419-033945

Schmitz, A. J., & Tyler, J. R. (2019). *The handbook of online dispute resolution*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190464585.001.0001

Setyowati, R. (2021). Sharia principles in financial services dispute resolution. *Sriwijaya Law Review*, 5(1). https://doi.org/10.28946/slrev.vol5.iss1.864

Smolo, E., & Hassan, M. K. (2011). The potentials of Islamic arbitration in resolving Islamic finance disputes. *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 51*(3), 272–279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qref.2011.02.001

Tektona, R. I., & Rachmawati, A. R. (2020). Online dispute resolution for electronic commerce transactions. *Jurnal Undang-Undang dan Masyarakat*, 27, 76-82. https://doi.org/10.17576/juum-2020-27-08

Werbach, K. (2018). Trust, but verify: Why the blockchain needs the law. *Berkeley Technology Law Journal, 33*(2), 487–550. https://doi.org/10.15779/Z38H41J75R

Widjaja, G. (2025). Dispute resolution in Islamic economic transactions: The role of sharia arbitration. *Journal of Islamic Economic Law.*

Zetzsche, D. A., Arner, D. W., & Buckley, R. P. (2020). Decentralized finance. *Journal of Financial Regulation, 6*(2), 172–203. https://doi.org/10.1093/jfr/fjaa010

Zetzsche, D. A., Buckley, R. P., Arner, D. W., & Barberis, J. (2020). Regulating fintech in a changing world. *Banking & Finance Law Review, 35*(1), 1–44. https://doi.org/