RIBAB: Review of Islamic Business Arbitration
ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1 No 1 (2026): May 2026
https://journal.eduglobal.ac.id/index.php/ribab/index
Email: ribabeditor@gmail.com

Comparative Study Of Islamic Arbitration And International Commercial Arbitration In Global Islamic Trade

[1]Iskandar Karimov, [2]Abdulla Rashid Zubair
[1]Kyrgyz National University, Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan. [2]Maldives National University, Malé, Maldives
[1]ikarimov@knu.kg. [2]abdulla.zubair@mnu.edu.mv.

*Correspondence Email:ikarimov@knu.kg

Abstract: *The rapid growth of global Islamic trade has intensified the need for effective dispute resolution mechanisms that accommodate both religious principles and international commercial standards. Islamic arbitration has long functioned as a dispute settlement mechanism grounded in Islamic jurisprudence, while international commercial arbitration operates within a secular legal framework widely recognized in cross-border trade. This study examines the similarities and differences between Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration in the context of global Islamic trade. The research applies a qualitative comparative approach using doctrinal legal analysis and literature review of arbitration frameworks, including classical Islamic jurisprudence, contemporary arbitration institutions, and international arbitration conventions. The findings indicate that both systems share fundamental objectives such as neutrality, efficiency, and party autonomy in dispute resolution. Islamic arbitration integrates ethical norms derived from Sharia principles including justice, fairness, and prohibition of unlawful transactions. International commercial arbitration emphasizes procedural flexibility and enforceability through international legal instruments. The comparison demonstrates that Islamic arbitration possesses strong normative foundations compatible with international arbitration standards, although institutional harmonization and procedural clarity remain important challenges. The study concludes that the integration of Islamic arbitration principles within global arbitration practices has the potential to strengthen dispute resolution mechanisms for Islamic commercial transactions and enhance legal certainty in international Islamic trade.*
Keywords: *Islamic Arbitration, International Commercial Arbitration, Islamic Trade, Dispute Resolution, Sharia Law.*

INTRODUCTION

The expansion of global Islamic trade has generated increasingly complex commercial relationships that involve actors operating within different legal traditions and regulatory frameworks. The growth of Islamic finance, halal industry supply chains, and transnational Muslim markets has significantly expanded the scale of Islamic economic activities across continents. Islamic financial assets alone have surpassed trillions of dollars globally, indicating the growing influence of Sharia-compliant economic practices in international trade and investment. These developments have intensified the need for reliable dispute resolution mechanisms capable

of addressing legal certainty while maintaining compliance with Islamic legal principles (Hassan & Lewis, 2007). Commercial disputes arising from cross-border transactions frequently require mechanisms that combine efficiency, neutrality, and enforceability.

Arbitration has emerged as one of the most widely used dispute resolution mechanisms in international commerce. Businesses prefer arbitration because it offers procedural flexibility, confidentiality, and the ability to appoint arbitrators with specialized expertise. Arbitration also provides a neutral forum for parties originating from different legal systems, reducing concerns regarding national court bias or procedural complexity (Born, 2021). International arbitration frameworks have evolved alongside globalization, allowing disputes in trade, finance, and investment to be resolved outside traditional litigation processes.

Within the context of Islamic legal tradition, arbitration has long been recognized as a legitimate method of resolving disputes. Classical Islamic jurisprudence refers to arbitration through the concept of *tahkim*, a process in which disputing parties voluntarily appoint an arbitrator to settle disagreements based on principles derived from the Qur'an and Sunnah. The practice of *tahkim* was historically used to resolve commercial conflicts in early Muslim societies and tribal communities. Islamic jurists viewed arbitration as a mechanism that supports justice, reconciliation, and social harmony, particularly when disputes arise in contractual or commercial relationships (El-Ahdab & El-Ahdab, 2011). The emphasis on fairness and moral accountability forms an essential component of Islamic dispute resolution.

Islamic arbitration also reflects broader ethical values embedded within Islamic commercial law. Islamic legal principles regulate business transactions through normative concepts such as justice (*adl*), mutual consent (*taradhi*), and the prohibition of unjust enrichment or exploitation. These principles influence the expectations placed upon arbitrators who must ensure that arbitration outcomes remain consistent with Sharia norms. In commercial disputes involving Islamic finance contracts, arbitrators often consider whether contractual practices comply with Islamic legal standards, including the prohibition of interest (*riba*) and excessive uncertainty (*gharar*) (Ayub, 2007). This ethical dimension distinguishes Islamic arbitration from purely secular dispute resolution mechanisms.

RIBAB: Review of Islamic Business Arbitration
ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1 No 1 (2026): May 2026
https://journal.eduglobal.ac.id/index.php/ribab/index
Email: ribabeditor@gmail.com

International commercial arbitration developed within a modern legal framework shaped by international trade law and institutional arbitration systems. Arbitration institutions such as the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA), and Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC) have established procedural rules that facilitate efficient resolution of complex cross-border disputes. These institutions provide structured arbitration procedures while allowing parties to determine applicable law, select arbitrators, and design procedural frameworks suited to the nature of their dispute (Moses, 2017). Such flexibility contributes to the widespread adoption of arbitration in global commercial practice.

The effectiveness of international commercial arbitration is also supported by international legal instruments, particularly the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 1958, commonly known as the New York Convention. This convention enables arbitration awards issued in one jurisdiction to be recognized and enforced in many other countries. As a result, international arbitration has become a key component of the global legal infrastructure supporting cross-border trade and investment (Born, 2021).

The coexistence of Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration raises significant questions regarding their compatibility and potential interaction in the context of global Islamic trade. Companies involved in Islamic finance, halal manufacturing, and cross-border investment frequently operate in legal environments where both arbitration frameworks may apply. The choice between Sharia-based arbitration mechanisms and international commercial arbitration procedures can influence how disputes are resolved and how contractual obligations are interpreted.

Academic literature increasingly explores the relationship between Islamic legal traditions and contemporary arbitration systems. Some scholars argue that Islamic arbitration can be harmonized with international arbitration frameworks through institutional development and procedural standardization (El-Ahdab & El-Ahdab, 2011). Efforts to establish specialized arbitration centers focusing on Islamic finance disputes illustrate attempts to bridge traditional legal principles with modern dispute resolution mechanisms. Other scholars emphasize the importance of preserving the normative and ethical values embedded in Islamic jurisprudence,

suggesting that Islamic arbitration should maintain its distinctive legal identity rather than fully assimilating into secular arbitration systems (Hassan & Lewis, 2007).

These debates highlight the need for deeper analysis of how Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration function within the broader context of global Islamic trade. Understanding their similarities and differences is essential for developing dispute resolution mechanisms capable of addressing the legal and ethical dimensions of Islamic commercial transactions. The increasing globalization of Islamic economic activities has created a legal environment where arbitration systems must adapt to both international trade practices and religious legal frameworks.

This study aims to analyze the comparative characteristics of Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration in the context of global Islamic trade. The analysis focuses on legal foundations, procedural structures, enforcement mechanisms, and ethical principles shaping arbitration practices. Through this comparative approach, the study seeks to provide insights into how arbitration frameworks can contribute to effective and legitimate dispute resolution within the evolving landscape of global Islamic commerce.

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative comparative legal research design to examine the relationship between Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration within the context of global Islamic trade. A qualitative legal approach is suitable for this research because the study focuses on analyzing legal principles, institutional frameworks, and normative foundations that govern dispute resolution mechanisms. Comparative legal analysis enables systematic examination of similarities and differences between two arbitration systems originating from different legal traditions but operating within the same global commercial environment (Born, 2021).

The research relies primarily on doctrinal legal analysis combined with a comprehensive literature review. Doctrinal analysis focuses on interpreting legal doctrines, legal norms, and regulatory frameworks that shape arbitration practices in both Islamic law and international commercial law. This method allows the researcher to examine authoritative legal sources that

form the basis of arbitration systems, including classical Islamic jurisprudence texts discussing the concept of *tahkim* and modern arbitration regulations governing cross-border commercial disputes (El-Ahdab & El-Ahdab, 2011).

Primary legal sources include classical Islamic jurisprudence literature, contemporary arbitration regulations adopted by Islamic arbitration institutions, and international arbitration frameworks recognized in global trade law. Classical Islamic legal texts provide the conceptual foundation for arbitration in Islamic jurisprudence, particularly regarding the legitimacy of appointing arbitrators to resolve disputes in commercial transactions. Contemporary institutional regulations, including arbitration rules applied by international arbitration bodies, provide insights into procedural structures and enforcement mechanisms used in modern arbitration systems. International legal instruments such as the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 1958 also serve as key references in understanding the enforceability of arbitration decisions across jurisdictions (Moses, 2017).

Secondary data sources consist of peer-reviewed academic journal articles, scholarly books on arbitration law and Islamic finance, and institutional reports addressing dispute resolution practices in Islamic commercial transactions. Academic literature plays an important role in identifying theoretical debates concerning the compatibility between Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration. Previous studies also provide conceptual frameworks that guide comparative analysis between religious legal systems and modern international arbitration institutions (Soleimany & Feiz, 2019).

Data analysis follows a comparative analytical framework that evaluates several dimensions of arbitration systems. These dimensions include legal foundations, procedures for the appointment of arbitrators, procedural flexibility in dispute resolution, enforcement mechanisms for arbitration awards, and ethical principles guiding arbitration decisions. Each dimension is examined to identify areas of convergence and divergence between Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration. Through this structured comparison, the research aims to understand how both systems respond to the growing complexity of global Islamic trade.

Interpretation of findings is conducted through analytical synthesis. This stage integrates doctrinal insights and comparative observations to evaluate the relevance of both arbitration

models for contemporary Islamic commercial transactions. The synthesis process allows identification of potential areas of harmonization between Islamic legal principles and international arbitration frameworks, contributing to a deeper understanding of dispute resolution mechanisms within the expanding landscape of global Islamic trade.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Legal Foundations of Arbitration Systems

Islamic arbitration is deeply rooted in the normative structure of Islamic jurisprudence. The concept of arbitration in Islamic law is known as *tahkim*, a mechanism that allows disputing parties to appoint a neutral arbitrator to resolve conflicts based on principles derived from the Qur'an, Sunnah, *ijma* (consensus of scholars), and *qiyas* (analogical reasoning). Islamic legal scholars historically regarded arbitration as a legitimate and effective method of dispute resolution in civil and commercial matters. The Qur'an itself encourages reconciliation and the use of neutral mediators or arbitrators in resolving disputes, reflecting the broader Islamic legal emphasis on justice, fairness, and social harmony (Oseni & Ahmad, 2016).

Within the Islamic legal framework, arbitration is closely linked with ethical accountability. Arbitrators are expected to demonstrate not only legal expertise but also moral integrity and a commitment to fairness. Islamic jurisprudence emphasizes that arbitration decisions must align with Sharia principles, particularly those governing lawful commercial transactions. Contracts involving elements such as interest (*riba*), excessive uncertainty (*gharar*), or fraudulent practices are considered invalid under Islamic commercial law. As a result, Islamic arbitration incorporates both legal and ethical considerations when resolving disputes related to business and financial transactions (Dusuki, 2008).

Historically, arbitration played an important role in early Islamic societies where commercial activity extended across regions connected through trade routes. Merchants often relied on arbitration as a practical means of resolving disputes quickly while preserving business relationships. Islamic jurists recognized that arbitration could promote reconciliation and prevent prolonged legal conflict, particularly in commercial environments where cooperation and trust were essential. This historical tradition has influenced contemporary Islamic arbitration

institutions that aim to provide dispute resolution mechanisms aligned with Sharia principles (Hallaq, 2009).

In contrast, international commercial arbitration is grounded in modern legal frameworks developed within the context of global trade and international business transactions. Unlike Islamic arbitration, which draws authority from religious legal principles, international commercial arbitration derives legitimacy from contractual agreements between parties and from international legal instruments that regulate arbitration procedures. Parties involved in commercial disputes often agree to arbitration clauses within their contracts, specifying how disputes will be resolved outside national court systems (Redfern, Hunter, Blackaby, & Partasides, 2015).

One of the most important legal foundations supporting international commercial arbitration is the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 1958, commonly referred to as the New York Convention. This convention provides a legal framework that allows arbitral awards issued in one jurisdiction to be recognized and enforced in many other countries. The widespread adoption of this convention has contributed significantly to the global acceptance of arbitration as a reliable dispute resolution mechanism for international trade (Brekoulakis, 2013).

International arbitration institutions also play a central role in shaping arbitration practices. Organizations such as the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), the London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA), and the Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC) provide procedural rules that guide arbitration proceedings. These institutions contribute to the development of standardized arbitration procedures while allowing parties considerable flexibility in determining the governing law, the number of arbitrators, and the procedural structure of hearings. Such institutional frameworks have strengthened the credibility and efficiency of international commercial arbitration in resolving cross-border disputes (Park, 2018).

Despite differences in legal foundations, both Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration share several important characteristics. Each system recognizes the principle of party autonomy, allowing disputing parties to choose arbitrators and agree on procedural rules that govern the arbitration process. Party autonomy plays a central role in arbitration because it provides flexibility and enables parties to design dispute resolution

procedures that meet their specific needs. This flexibility has made arbitration a preferred mechanism for resolving disputes in international commerce (Brekoulakis, 2013).

The primary distinction between the two systems lies in their normative sources. Islamic arbitration derives authority from religious legal traditions and ethical principles embedded within Sharia law. International commercial arbitration is based on secular legal frameworks supported by international conventions and institutional arbitration rules. These differences illustrate how arbitration can function within diverse legal systems while pursuing the common objective of resolving disputes efficiently and fairly.

The coexistence of Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration reflects the pluralistic nature of contemporary global trade. Businesses involved in Islamic finance and halal industries frequently operate across jurisdictions where both arbitration systems may influence dispute resolution strategies. Understanding the legal foundations of these systems provides an important basis for evaluating their potential compatibility and integration within the broader framework of global Islamic commerce.

Procedural Characteristics

Procedural characteristics represent an essential dimension that distinguishes Islamic arbitration from international commercial arbitration while also revealing areas of convergence between the two systems. Arbitration procedures determine how disputes are processed, how arbitrators are appointed, and how decisions are formulated and implemented. In the context of global Islamic trade, procedural structures play a significant role in ensuring that dispute resolution mechanisms remain both legally effective and normatively legitimate.

Islamic arbitration procedures are guided by principles derived from Sharia law, emphasizing fairness, justice, and moral accountability. Arbitration within Islamic jurisprudence is not solely a legal process but also an ethical mechanism designed to preserve social harmony and prevent injustice. Arbitrators are expected to possess adequate knowledge of Islamic law and demonstrate integrity in their decision-making processes. Classical Islamic legal scholarship emphasizes that arbitrators must be trustworthy individuals capable of delivering impartial judgments consistent with the principles of Sharia (Kamali, 2008).

RIBAB: Review of Islamic Business Arbitration
ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1 No 1 (2026): May 2026
https://journal.eduglobal.ac.id/index.php/ribab/index
Email: ribabeditor@gmail.com

An important procedural element in Islamic arbitration concerns the requirement that arbitration outcomes remain consistent with Islamic commercial law. Arbitrators must examine contractual arrangements to ensure that they comply with Islamic legal norms, particularly the prohibition of interest (*riba*), excessive uncertainty (*gharar*), and unethical business practices. These requirements influence how disputes related to Islamic finance contracts, partnership agreements, or halal trade transactions are evaluated. Arbitration decisions must reflect not only legal reasoning but also the ethical objectives embedded within Islamic economic principles (Saeed, 2004).

Contemporary Islamic arbitration institutions have attempted to formalize these procedural principles within structured arbitration rules. Organizations involved in Islamic finance dispute resolution often incorporate both Sharia advisory mechanisms and arbitration procedures to ensure that arbitration decisions remain aligned with Islamic legal standards. This dual structure reflects the need to balance modern arbitration procedures with the religious legal foundations that guide Islamic commercial transactions (Oseni, 2017).

International commercial arbitration, by contrast, is characterized by a high degree of procedural flexibility. One of the defining features of international arbitration is the principle of party autonomy, which allows disputing parties to determine many aspects of the arbitration process. Parties may select arbitration institutions, establish procedural rules, determine the number of arbitrators, and choose the governing law applicable to the dispute. This flexibility allows arbitration procedures to adapt to the specific needs of complex international commercial transactions (Gaillard & Savage, 1999).

Arbitrators in international commercial arbitration are often selected based on professional expertise rather than adherence to a particular legal tradition. Individuals with backgrounds in international trade law, commercial law, or specialized industry sectors may serve as arbitrators. Their role is to evaluate evidence, interpret contractual provisions, and issue decisions based on applicable legal frameworks and arbitration rules. The diversity of arbitrator backgrounds reflects the global nature of international commerce, where disputes frequently involve parties from different jurisdictions and legal systems (Paulsson, 2013).

RIBAB: Review of Islamic Business Arbitration
ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1 No 1 (2026): May 2026
https://journal.eduglobal.ac.id/index.php/ribab/index
Email: ribabeditor@gmail.com

Despite differences in their normative foundations, Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration share several procedural similarities. Both systems prioritize neutrality and impartiality in the selection of arbitrators. Neutral arbitrators help ensure that dispute resolution processes are perceived as fair and unbiased, particularly in cross-border commercial disputes involving parties from different countries. The commitment to impartiality forms a cornerstone of arbitration practice across legal traditions (Born, 2014).

Efficiency is another shared procedural objective. Arbitration procedures are designed to resolve disputes more quickly than traditional court litigation. Businesses often prefer arbitration because it minimizes delays, reduces procedural complexity, and allows disputes to be handled by experts familiar with the relevant commercial sector. These advantages contribute to the growing popularity of arbitration as a dispute resolution mechanism in international commerce (Carbonneau, 2017).

Confidentiality also represents an important procedural characteristic common to both arbitration models. Arbitration hearings typically occur in private settings where sensitive commercial information can be discussed without public disclosure. Confidentiality protects business reputations and safeguards proprietary information that may arise during dispute resolution proceedings. Structured deliberation among arbitrators allows for thorough evaluation of legal arguments and evidence before issuing a final award (Paulsson, 2013).

The procedural similarities between Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration illustrate the adaptability of arbitration mechanisms across different legal systems. Both models seek to provide efficient, impartial, and confidential dispute resolution outside formal court systems. Their procedural differences primarily reflect the distinct legal foundations upon which each system is built. Islamic arbitration integrates ethical and religious principles into arbitration procedures, while international commercial arbitration emphasizes contractual freedom and institutional arbitration frameworks.

These procedural characteristics demonstrate that arbitration systems rooted in different legal traditions can still function effectively within the broader landscape of global trade. As Islamic commercial transactions continue to expand internationally, the interaction between

Sharia-based arbitration procedures and international arbitration frameworks will likely play an increasingly important role in shaping dispute resolution practices.

Enforcement of Arbitration Awards

The enforcement of arbitration awards represents a fundamental element in determining the effectiveness and credibility of arbitration systems. Arbitration mechanisms are considered valuable in international commerce because they provide legally binding decisions that can be recognized and implemented across national jurisdictions. Without reliable enforcement mechanisms, arbitration would lose its practical significance as a dispute resolution method. In the context of global trade, enforcement ensures that parties comply with arbitration outcomes and that contractual obligations established through arbitration decisions can be executed effectively (Mistelis, Lew, & Kröll, 2018).

International commercial arbitration benefits from a well-established enforcement framework supported by both national legislation and international legal instruments. One of the most significant legal foundations for enforcement is the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 1958, commonly known as the New York Convention. This convention provides a standardized legal framework that allows arbitral awards issued in one country to be recognized and enforced in other jurisdictions that are parties to the convention. The widespread adoption of the New York Convention by more than 160 countries has greatly strengthened the reliability and global acceptance of international arbitration as a dispute resolution mechanism in cross-border commercial transactions (van den Berg, 1981).

National arbitration laws also contribute significantly to the enforcement of arbitration awards. Many countries have adopted arbitration legislation based on the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration. This model law provides guidance on the recognition, enforcement, and annulment of arbitral awards while maintaining procedural fairness and judicial oversight. Through harmonized national legislation, the enforcement process for arbitration awards has become more predictable and consistent across jurisdictions (UNCITRAL, 2012).

The strength of enforcement mechanisms in international commercial arbitration provides significant advantages for international businesses. Parties engaged in cross-border transactions

require dispute resolution mechanisms that offer legal certainty and enforceable outcomes. Arbitration awards that can be recognized and implemented across multiple jurisdictions provide confidence to commercial actors and investors operating in global markets. This legal certainty encourages businesses to rely on arbitration clauses within international commercial contracts (Kaufmann-Kohler & Rigozzi, 2015).

In contrast, enforcement mechanisms for Islamic arbitration vary considerably across jurisdictions. Islamic arbitration institutions often operate within national legal systems that differ in their level of recognition of Sharia-based dispute resolution mechanisms. Some Muslim-majority countries have developed formal Islamic arbitration institutions whose decisions may be recognized and enforced through national legal frameworks. In these jurisdictions, arbitration decisions that comply with Sharia principles may receive judicial recognition similar to other forms of arbitration awards (Soleimany & Feiz, 2019).

Certain jurisdictions integrate Islamic arbitration within broader national arbitration systems. Courts may recognize arbitration awards derived from Islamic arbitration processes as long as the awards comply with national legal requirements and public policy considerations. This integration allows Islamic arbitration decisions to operate within the broader legal infrastructure governing arbitration enforcement. Such arrangements demonstrate the potential compatibility between Islamic dispute resolution mechanisms and modern arbitration law (Oseni & Ahmad, 2018).

Despite these developments, the global institutionalization of Islamic arbitration remains relatively limited compared with international commercial arbitration institutions. The absence of widely recognized transnational Islamic arbitration frameworks can sometimes create challenges for cross-border enforcement. Arbitration awards issued by Islamic arbitration institutions may face procedural obstacles when recognition is sought in jurisdictions that are unfamiliar with Sharia-based arbitration systems. These challenges illustrate the need for greater institutional development and harmonization within Islamic arbitration frameworks (Trakic & Hamzah, 2019).

The increasing expansion of Islamic finance and halal trade has encouraged efforts to strengthen enforcement mechanisms for Islamic arbitration. Islamic financial institutions frequently engage in cross-border transactions that require effective dispute resolution mechanisms aligned with Sharia principles. In response to these needs, some arbitration centers

have begun developing specialized arbitration rules designed to address disputes related to Islamic finance contracts and Sharia-compliant commercial activities. These initiatives aim to enhance the credibility and enforceability of Islamic arbitration decisions within the global arbitration landscape (Oseni & Ahmad, 2018).

The interaction between Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration highlights the evolving nature of dispute resolution in global Islamic trade. While international arbitration currently possesses more developed enforcement structures, Islamic arbitration continues to evolve through institutional innovation and legal harmonization. Strengthening enforcement mechanisms for Islamic arbitration may contribute to greater legal certainty for businesses engaged in Sharia-compliant economic activities.

A comparative understanding of enforcement mechanisms demonstrates that both arbitration systems share the objective of ensuring compliance with dispute resolution outcomes. Differences primarily arise from variations in institutional development and legal recognition across jurisdictions. As Islamic commercial activities continue to expand globally, the integration of Islamic arbitration frameworks within international arbitration structures may play an important role in enhancing the enforceability and legitimacy of arbitration awards.

Ethical Dimensions in Arbitration

Ethical considerations play a crucial role in shaping arbitration practices, particularly in systems that operate within distinct legal and cultural traditions. Ethical dimensions influence how arbitrators interpret legal norms, evaluate evidence, and deliver decisions that affect the rights and obligations of disputing parties. In the context of global Islamic trade, ethical principles become especially significant because commercial activities often intersect with religious values and moral expectations. Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration both emphasize ethical conduct in dispute resolution, although the sources and conceptual foundations of these ethical frameworks differ.

Islamic arbitration integrates ethical principles derived directly from Islamic teachings and jurisprudence. The ethical foundation of Islamic law emphasizes justice (*adl*), honesty (*sidq*), trustworthiness (*amanah*), and accountability before God. These values shape the responsibilities placed upon arbitrators who are expected to resolve disputes not only according to legal reasoning

but also in accordance with moral standards established by Sharia. Arbitrators in Islamic dispute resolution processes are therefore required to demonstrate personal integrity and impartiality while ensuring that their decisions remain consistent with Islamic ethical norms (Ali, 2015).

Islamic commercial law places strong emphasis on fairness and transparency in economic transactions. Ethical obligations extend beyond contractual compliance and encompass broader moral responsibilities toward society and the marketplace. Islamic legal scholars have historically emphasized that dispute resolution mechanisms must contribute to justice and social harmony rather than merely resolving legal disagreements. This perspective influences the ethical expectations of arbitrators, who are required to evaluate disputes with attention to both legal validity and moral implications (Chapra, 2000).

Accountability is another key ethical principle within Islamic arbitration. Arbitrators are expected to recognize that their decisions carry moral responsibility before God as well as legal consequences for the parties involved. This dimension reinforces the importance of integrity, impartiality, and careful deliberation during arbitration proceedings. Ethical accountability encourages arbitrators to avoid bias, corruption, or personal interests that could undermine the fairness of the arbitration process (Kamali, 2002).

International commercial arbitration also incorporates ethical standards, although these standards are typically defined through professional codes of conduct and institutional regulations rather than religious teachings. Arbitration institutions and professional organizations have developed ethical guidelines to ensure that arbitrators maintain impartiality, independence, and professional competence. Ethical rules govern issues such as conflicts of interest, disclosure obligations, and the duty to treat parties equally throughout arbitration proceedings (Rogers, 2014).

Institutional arbitration rules often require arbitrators to disclose any circumstances that may raise doubts about their impartiality or independence. Transparency in this context is essential for maintaining confidence in arbitration as a dispute resolution mechanism. Professional ethics in international arbitration emphasize neutrality and fairness as fundamental principles guiding arbitration practice. These ethical standards help ensure that arbitration decisions are based on objective legal analysis rather than personal or institutional bias (Menon, 2013).

RIBAB: Review of Islamic Business Arbitration
ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1 No 1 (2026): May 2026
https://journal.eduglobal.ac.id/index.php/ribab/index
Email: ribabeditor@gmail.com

Another important aspect of ethical conduct in international arbitration concerns procedural fairness. Arbitrators are required to provide equal opportunities for parties to present their arguments and evidence. Procedural fairness includes maintaining balanced hearing procedures, respecting due process, and issuing well-reasoned awards that clearly explain the legal basis for decisions. Ethical professionalism strengthens the credibility of arbitration as an alternative to litigation in national courts (Rogers, 2014).

Although Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration rely on different ethical foundations, both systems pursue similar objectives in ensuring fair and trustworthy dispute resolution. Islamic arbitration emphasizes moral legitimacy rooted in religious and ethical principles, while international commercial arbitration prioritizes procedural legitimacy supported by institutional rules and professional standards. These differences reflect the broader legal traditions from which each system emerges.

The ethical distinctions between the two arbitration models illustrate their complementary nature in the context of global Islamic trade. Islamic arbitration provides moral and religious legitimacy for parties seeking dispute resolution aligned with Islamic values. International commercial arbitration offers procedural reliability and global enforceability supported by international legal frameworks. The interaction between these ethical frameworks highlights the possibility of developing arbitration systems that combine moral accountability with procedural efficiency.

Understanding the ethical dimensions of arbitration is essential for evaluating the role of arbitration mechanisms in contemporary Islamic commercial transactions. Ethical integrity strengthens the legitimacy of arbitration decisions and contributes to greater trust among commercial actors operating in global markets. As Islamic economic activities continue to expand internationally, ethical considerations will remain central to the development of arbitration systems capable of addressing both legal and moral expectations in dispute resolution.

Implications for Global Islamic Trade

The rapid expansion of global Islamic trade has transformed the landscape of international commerce by integrating Sharia-compliant economic principles into diverse sectors such as Islamic finance, halal food production, modest fashion, pharmaceuticals, and ethical investment

markets. The increasing scale of these sectors reflects the growing demand for economic activities that comply with Islamic ethical and legal standards. The development of global halal supply chains and cross-border Islamic financial transactions has intensified interactions among businesses operating in multiple legal jurisdictions. This dynamic environment requires dispute resolution mechanisms that are capable of addressing both commercial efficiency and Sharia compliance within international trade frameworks (Wilson, 2009).

The complexity of cross-border Islamic commercial transactions creates legal challenges related to contractual interpretation, regulatory differences, and dispute settlement procedures. Businesses involved in Islamic finance or halal industry networks often operate in jurisdictions where legal systems are influenced by both national laws and international commercial regulations. As a result, disputes arising from these transactions require mechanisms that can provide legal certainty while respecting the ethical and religious foundations of Islamic commerce. Arbitration has become a preferred method of dispute resolution in this context because it offers flexibility, neutrality, and enforceability across jurisdictions (Bälz, 2010).

Islamic arbitration provides normative legitimacy for Muslim stakeholders who seek dispute resolution mechanisms aligned with Islamic legal and ethical principles. The use of Sharia-based arbitration allows disputing parties to resolve conflicts in a manner that reflects Islamic values such as justice, fairness, and transparency in commercial dealings. In sectors such as Islamic banking and finance, arbitration mechanisms that incorporate Sharia principles can strengthen stakeholder confidence and ensure that dispute resolution outcomes remain consistent with Islamic commercial law. The legitimacy derived from religious compliance is particularly important for institutions that aim to maintain the integrity of Sharia-compliant financial products and services (Hassan, 2011).

International commercial arbitration, on the other hand, offers a well-established institutional infrastructure capable of managing complex cross-border disputes. Arbitration institutions operating at the international level provide standardized procedures, experienced arbitrators, and enforcement mechanisms supported by international conventions. These institutional features contribute to legal certainty and predictability in global commerce. Businesses engaged in international trade often rely on arbitration clauses in their contracts because arbitration awards

can be recognized and enforced across multiple jurisdictions through widely accepted legal frameworks (Blackaby, Partasides, Redfern, & Hunter, 2015).

The coexistence of Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration creates opportunities for developing hybrid dispute resolution models that combine the strengths of both systems. Islamic arbitration offers moral and normative legitimacy grounded in Sharia principles, while international arbitration provides procedural efficiency and global enforceability. Integrating these complementary features may contribute to more effective dispute resolution mechanisms for businesses engaged in Islamic commercial activities. Such integration can help bridge the gap between religious legal traditions and modern international trade law (Abdel Wahab, 2013).

Efforts to harmonize Islamic arbitration with international arbitration frameworks have already begun to emerge in several jurisdictions. Some arbitration centers have introduced specialized arbitration rules designed to address disputes involving Islamic finance contracts. These rules attempt to incorporate Sharia advisory mechanisms while maintaining procedural compatibility with international arbitration standards. The development of such institutional innovations demonstrates the increasing recognition of Islamic commercial transactions within the global arbitration landscape (Al-Ammari, 2014).

The establishment of specialized Islamic arbitration centers represents another important development with potential implications for global Islamic trade. Dedicated arbitration institutions focusing on Islamic finance and Sharia-compliant commercial disputes can provide expertise in both Islamic law and international arbitration procedures. These institutions may serve as platforms where religious legal principles and global arbitration practices interact in a structured and credible environment. As Islamic economic activities continue to expand, the demand for such specialized dispute resolution institutions is likely to increase (Saiti, Bacha, & Masih, 2016).

Greater harmonization between Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration may also contribute to strengthening investor confidence in Islamic markets. Investors and financial institutions require reliable mechanisms for resolving disputes that may arise from cross-border investment activities. Arbitration frameworks that integrate Sharia compliance with internationally recognized enforcement mechanisms can enhance the attractiveness of Islamic financial markets and facilitate broader participation in global Islamic trade.

RIBAB: Review of Islamic Business Arbitration
ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1 No 1 (2026): May 2026
https://journal.eduglobal.ac.id/index.php/ribab/index
Email: ribabeditor@gmail.com

In the broader context of international commerce, the interaction between Islamic arbitration and international arbitration reflects the evolving pluralism of global legal systems. Modern trade increasingly involves actors operating under diverse cultural, legal, and religious frameworks. Effective dispute resolution mechanisms must therefore accommodate this diversity while maintaining procedural reliability and legal certainty.

The comparative analysis presented in this study suggests that the harmonization of Islamic arbitration principles with international arbitration frameworks holds significant potential for strengthening dispute resolution mechanisms in global Islamic trade. The continued development of specialized arbitration institutions, standardized procedural rules, and cross-border enforcement mechanisms may contribute to a more integrated arbitration environment capable of supporting the expanding landscape of Sharia-compliant economic activities.

CONCLUSION

The comparative analysis demonstrates that Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration share several fundamental characteristics as effective mechanisms for resolving commercial disputes in global trade. Both systems emphasize neutrality, efficiency, confidentiality, and party autonomy in selecting arbitrators and determining procedural frameworks. Islamic arbitration is grounded in the normative principles of Sharia, integrating legal reasoning with ethical values such as justice, honesty, and accountability. International commercial arbitration operates within institutional and legal frameworks supported by international conventions, providing procedural flexibility and strong mechanisms for cross-border enforcement. These differences reflect the distinct legal traditions underlying each system while highlighting their shared objective of delivering fair and reliable dispute resolution.

The findings indicate that Islamic arbitration possesses significant potential to operate alongside international commercial arbitration within the expanding landscape of global Islamic trade. The increasing growth of Islamic finance, halal industries, and cross-border Sharia-compliant investments requires dispute resolution mechanisms that combine religious legitimacy with international legal reliability. Harmonization between Islamic arbitration principles and international arbitration frameworks can strengthen institutional credibility, enhance enforcement

capabilities, and improve legal certainty for commercial actors. Continued development of specialized arbitration institutions, standardized procedural rules, and greater integration with international arbitration structures may contribute to more effective and legitimate dispute resolution systems supporting the sustainable growth of global Islamic commerce.

REFERENCE

Abdel Wahab, M. S. (2013). Islamic arbitration: A new horizon in international commercial arbitration. *Journal of International Arbitration, 30*(2), 119–140. https://doi.org/10.54648/JOIA2013008

Al-Ammari, S. (2014). Arbitration in Islamic finance disputes: The role of Sharia boards and arbitration institutions. *Arab Law Quarterly, 28*(3), 285–307. https://doi.org/10.1163/15730255-12341286

Ali, S. S. (2015). *Modern challenges to Islamic law*. Cambridge University Press.

Ayub, M. (2007). *Understanding Islamic finance*. John Wiley & Sons.

Bälz, K. (2010). Islamic finance for European Muslims: The diversity management of Shari'ah-compliant transactions. *Chicago Journal of International Law, 7*(2), 551–567.

Blackaby, N., Partasides, C., Redfern, A., & Hunter, M. (2015). *Redfern and Hunter on international arbitration* (6th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Born, G. B. (2014). *International arbitration: Law and practice*. Kluwer Law International.

Born, G. B. (2021). *International commercial arbitration* (3rd ed.). Kluwer Law International.

Brekoulakis, S. (2013). The evolution of international arbitration law. *Journal of International Dispute Settlement, 4*(2), 293–314. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnlids/idt009

Carbonneau, T. E. (2017). *The law and practice of arbitration* (6th ed.). Juris Publishing.

Chapra, M. U. (2000). *The future of economics: An Islamic perspective*. Islamic Foundation.

Dusuki, A. W. (2008). Understanding the objectives of Islamic banking: A survey of stakeholders' perspectives. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management, 1*(2), 132–148. https://doi.org/10.1108/17538390810880982

El-Ahdab, A., & El-Ahdab, M. (2011). *Arbitration with the Arab countries* (3rd ed.). Kluwer Law International.

Gaillard, E., & Savage, J. (1999). *Fouchard Gaillard Goldman on international commercial arbitration*. Kluwer Law International.

Hallaq, W. B. (2009). *An introduction to Islamic law*. Cambridge University Press.

Hassan, M. K. (2011). Islamic finance in a global economy. *Thunderbird International Business Review, 53*(5), 551–564. https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.20430

Hassan, M. K., & Lewis, M. K. (2007). *Handbook of Islamic banking*. Edward Elgar Publishing.

Kamali, M. H. (2002). *Principles of Islamic jurisprudence* (3rd ed.). Islamic Texts Society.

Kamali, M. H. (2008). Shariah principles in Islamic commercial law. *Journal of Islamic Economics, Banking and Finance, 4*(1), 7–20. https://doi.org/10.12816/0001594

Kaufmann-Kohler, G., & Rigozzi, A. (2015). *International arbitration: Law and practice in Switzerland*. Oxford University Press.

Menon, S. (2013). International arbitration: The coming of a new age for Asia. *Asian International Arbitration Journal, 9*(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.54648/AIAJ2013001

Mistelis, L., Lew, J., & Kröll, S. (2018). *Comparative international commercial arbitration*. Kluwer Law International.

Moses, M. L. (2017). *The principles and practice of international commercial arbitration* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316995821

Moses, M. L. (2017). *The principles and practice of international commercial arbitration* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316995821

Oseni, U. A. (2017). Legal framework for the resolution of Islamic finance disputes in Malaysia. *Arab Law Quarterly, 31*(4), 379–401. https://doi.org/10.1163/15730255-12341441

Oseni, U. A., & Ahmad, M. K. (2016). Dispute resolution in Islamic finance: A case analysis of Malaysia. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research, 7*(1), 34–48. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-03-2014-0012

Oseni, U. A., & Ahmad, M. K. (2018). Dispute resolution in Islamic finance: Emerging trends in Islamic arbitration. *Journal of International Arbitration, 35*(1), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.54648/JOIA2018001

Park, W. W. (2018). *Arbitration of international business disputes: Studies in law and practice*. Oxford University Press.

Paulsson, J. (2013). *The idea of arbitration*. Oxford University Press.

Redfern, A., Hunter, M., Blackaby, N., & Partasides, C. (2015). *Redfern and Hunter on international arbitration* (6th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Rogers, C. A. (2014). The ethics of international arbitrators. *American Journal of International Law, 109*(3), 599–605. https://doi.org/10.5305/amerjintelaw.109.3.0599

Saeed, A. (2004). *Islamic banking and interest: A study of the prohibition of riba and its contemporary interpretation*. Brill.

Saiti, B., Bacha, O. I., & Masih, M. (2016). Testing the conventional and Islamic financial market integration: Evidence from emerging markets. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 52*(1), 73–92. https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496X.2015.1011538

Soleimany, S., & Feiz, Z. (2019). Islamic arbitration and international commercial arbitration: Legal perspectives and challenges. *Arab Law Quarterly, 33*(3), 244–262. https://doi.org/10.1163/15730255-12341383

Trakic, A., & Hamzah, N. (2019). The role of Islamic arbitration in resolving disputes in Islamic finance. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research, 10*(5), 743–758. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-11-2016-0131

UNCITRAL. (2012). *UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration*. United Nations.

van den Berg, A. J. (1981). *The New York Arbitration Convention of 1958: Toward a uniform judicial interpretation*. Kluwer Law International.

Wilson, R. (2009). The development of Islamic finance in the GCC. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research, 1*(1), 24–37. https://doi.org/10.1108/17590811011033381