TORIQIYAH
Journal of Educational Approaches

TORIQIYAH: Journal of Educational Approaches
ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1 No 1 (2026): April 2026
https://journal.eduglobal.ac.id/index.php/toriqiyah/index
Email: toriqiyaheditor@gmail.com

Transformative Educational Leadership For Strengthening Institutional Innovation In Higher Education

[1]M. Munif
[1]IAIFA Kediri, Indonesia
[1]janoko0112233@gmail.com.

**Correspondence Email: janoko0112233@gmail.com.*

Abstract: *Higher education institutions face continuous pressure to respond to rapid technological change, global competition, and evolving societal expectations. Leadership plays a central role in shaping the institutional capacity to innovate within such dynamic environments. This study explores how transformative educational leadership contributes to the strengthening of institutional innovation in higher education. The research adopts a qualitative approach through literature-based analysis of contemporary scholarship on educational leadership and innovation management in universities. The analysis identifies several key dimensions of transformative leadership that support institutional innovation, including vision-driven governance, collaborative academic culture, empowerment of faculty and staff, and the strategic integration of digital technologies. Findings indicate that leaders who promote participatory decision making and encourage interdisciplinary collaboration create an ecosystem that nurtures creativity, experimentation, and knowledge production. Institutional innovation emerges not only from technological adoption but also from cultural transformation within the organization. The study highlights that transformative leadership enables universities to adapt to complex challenges while maintaining academic integrity and social responsibility. The results contribute to a conceptual understanding of how leadership practices influence innovation capacity in higher education institutions.*
Keywords: *Transformative Leadership, Institutional Innovation, Higher Education Leadership, Academic Governance, Educational Transformation*

INTRODUCTION

Higher education institutions operate in an environment shaped by technological disruption, global knowledge exchange, and expanding societal expectations. Universities are expected to produce graduates who possess critical thinking, digital competence, and collaborative skills suited for complex global challenges. Academic institutions also carry responsibility for research innovation and community engagement that contribute to social and economic development. These dynamics position institutional innovation as a central element in the sustainability and relevance of universities in the twenty-first century (Altbach et al., 2019).

Institutional innovation in higher education refers to structural, cultural, and pedagogical transformations that enable universities to adapt to evolving academic landscapes. Innovation can emerge through curriculum redesign, interdisciplinary research collaboration, digital learning environments, and new governance models that support academic creativity. Universities increasingly integrate digital platforms, data driven decision making, and collaborative networks in order to strengthen their capacity for knowledge production and dissemination (Barnett, 2022). Institutional innovation also reflects the ability of universities to respond to global educational trends while maintaining academic integrity and societal responsibility.

Leadership plays a decisive role in shaping institutional transformation. University leaders influence strategic vision, organizational culture, and decision making processes that determine how innovation emerges within academic institutions. Traditional leadership approaches in higher education often emphasize hierarchical authority and administrative control. Such governance models tend to prioritize procedural compliance and bureaucratic management. Limited space for participatory decision making may reduce institutional flexibility and restrict the capacity of academic communities to experiment with new ideas (Bolden et al., 2015).

Transformative educational leadership presents an alternative orientation for guiding institutional change. Transformative leaders articulate a shared vision that aligns academic values with organizational goals. Leadership practices grounded in collaboration and empowerment encourage faculty members, staff, and students to participate actively in institutional development. Open communication, trust building, and intellectual freedom contribute to the creation of a supportive academic environment where innovative practices can flourish (Burns, 2018). Transformative leadership emphasizes collective engagement rather than centralized authority. Institutional members become partners in shaping the direction of academic development.

The presence of transformative leadership influences how universities develop innovation ecosystems. Academic leaders who encourage interdisciplinary collaboration enable faculty members to engage in research that crosses traditional disciplinary boundaries. Collaborative academic cultures strengthen the exchange of ideas and foster the emergence of new knowledge domains. Universities that promote collaborative research structures demonstrate stronger

innovation performance and greater capacity to address complex societal challenges (Etzkowitz & Zhou, 2018).

Digital transformation has become a significant dimension of institutional innovation in higher education. Online learning platforms, open educational resources, and digital research infrastructures reshape the way knowledge is created and distributed. Transformative educational leadership guides universities in integrating digital technologies with institutional strategies and pedagogical goals. Leadership vision influences investment in digital infrastructure, professional development for faculty members, and the creation of flexible learning environments that respond to contemporary student needs (Selwyn, 2022).

Institutional culture represents another critical element in the innovation process. Universities characterized by openness, collaboration, and intellectual curiosity tend to demonstrate stronger adaptability in periods of change. Transformative leaders cultivate these cultural values through inclusive governance practices and continuous professional development initiatives. Academic communities develop confidence in experimenting with new pedagogical models, research collaborations, and digital innovations that support institutional growth (Kezar & Holcombe, 2017).

Scholarly discussions on educational leadership highlight the importance of leadership practices that integrate vision, collaboration, and empowerment. Transformative educational leadership provides a framework for understanding how universities can strengthen institutional innovation while maintaining academic excellence and social responsibility. This perspective recognizes that innovation in higher education is not solely driven by technological adoption. Organizational culture, leadership vision, and collaborative academic engagement shape the broader ecosystem of institutional transformation.

This study examines the role of transformative educational leadership in strengthening institutional innovation in higher education. The analysis explores leadership practices that support organizational creativity, interdisciplinary collaboration, and strategic adaptation within universities. Insights from this study contribute to the growing body of scholarship on educational leadership and institutional transformation in contemporary higher education.

TORIQIYAH: Journal of Educational Approaches
ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1 No 1 (2026): April 2026
https://journal.eduglobal.ac.id/index.php/toriqiyah/index
Email: toriqiyaheditor@gmail.com

METHOD

This study applies a qualitative literature based research design in order to examine the relationship between transformative educational leadership and institutional innovation in higher education. Literature based inquiry enables a comprehensive exploration of theoretical perspectives and empirical evidence concerning leadership practices that influence organizational transformation within universities. The approach supports the synthesis of existing scholarly work and facilitates conceptual interpretation of leadership strategies that foster innovation in academic institutions (Snyder, 2019).

Data sources consist of peer reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, and policy reports that address educational leadership, organizational innovation, and higher education governance. Academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar were used to identify relevant literature. Publications from approximately the last two decades were selected in order to capture contemporary discussions related to leadership transformation and institutional innovation in universities. The selection process prioritized studies that examine leadership practices, collaborative academic culture, and digital transformation in higher education contexts (Xiao & Watson, 2019).

The analytical process follows a thematic synthesis strategy. Selected literature was examined through iterative reading in order to identify recurring patterns and conceptual relationships across studies. Coding procedures were used to group ideas that describe leadership roles in promoting institutional innovation. Several analytical categories emerged during the review process. These categories include visionary leadership orientation, collaborative academic culture, empowerment of academic communities, and strategic integration of digital innovation in academic environments. Thematic synthesis enables the integration of diverse scholarly perspectives into a coherent conceptual framework that explains how leadership influences innovation capacity within universities (Braun & Clarke, 2021).

Interpretation of the findings focuses on conceptual relationships rather than statistical generalization. This orientation supports a deeper understanding of how leadership values, organizational culture, and collaborative engagement shape innovation processes in higher education institutions. Qualitative synthesis provides insight into leadership practices that

strengthen institutional adaptability and encourage continuous academic development in rapidly changing educational environments.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Transformative Leadership as a Driver of Institutional Innovation

Transformative educational leadership positions institutional vision as a central driver of organizational change within higher education. University leaders articulate strategic goals that align academic missions with societal expectations, technological development, and global knowledge exchange. A clear and shared institutional vision supports the development of innovative academic programs, research initiatives, and community engagement activities. Leadership that emphasizes intellectual openness and academic creativity encourages members of the institution to explore new pathways for knowledge production and dissemination. Universities that cultivate visionary leadership often demonstrate stronger institutional adaptability and sustained academic growth (Ghasemy et al., 2021).

Institutional innovation is closely connected to the capacity of leaders to guide organizational transformation through collective engagement. Transformative leaders create spaces where faculty members, researchers, and administrative staff participate in shaping institutional directions. Shared vision building enhances organizational cohesion and strengthens the motivation of academic communities to contribute to innovation initiatives. Leadership practices that emphasize inspiration, ethical commitment, and intellectual stimulation strengthen trust among institutional members. Academic communities become more willing to engage in experimentation with new pedagogical approaches and collaborative research projects (Northouse, 2022).

Participatory governance represents a key feature of transformative educational leadership. Inclusive decision making allows faculty members and academic staff to contribute their expertise to institutional planning processes. Universities that practice participatory governance tend to cultivate stronger organizational commitment among academic communities. Engagement in decision making encourages faculty members to take ownership of institutional transformation and to actively support innovative academic initiatives. Research on higher education governance

indicates that inclusive leadership structures contribute to improved institutional performance and stronger academic collaboration (Jones et al., 2014).

Transformative leadership also supports the development of interdisciplinary collaboration across academic units. Universities increasingly recognize that complex global challenges require knowledge integration from multiple disciplinary perspectives. Academic leaders who facilitate collaboration across faculties and research centers create opportunities for interdisciplinary research and innovative curriculum development. Collaborative academic environments encourage scholars to combine theoretical frameworks, research methodologies, and technological tools in order to address emerging social and scientific issues. Interdisciplinary collaboration strengthens institutional capacity to produce knowledge that responds to complex societal demands (Frodeman, 2017).

Institutional innovation frequently emerges through interactions among diverse academic communities. Transformative leaders cultivate organizational cultures that value dialogue, mutual learning, and intellectual curiosity. Such environments enable scholars from different fields to exchange perspectives and develop creative solutions to shared challenges. Collaborative learning spaces, research clusters, and innovation laboratories represent examples of institutional structures that support interdisciplinary knowledge creation. Academic leaders play a significant role in establishing these structures through strategic resource allocation and supportive policy frameworks.

Digital transformation within universities also benefits from transformative leadership practices. Technological integration influences teaching methods, research collaboration, and knowledge dissemination. Leaders who recognize the strategic importance of digital innovation invest in learning management systems, digital research infrastructure, and professional development programs that enhance faculty digital competence. Institutional innovation emerges when technological adoption aligns with pedagogical vision and research objectives. Transformative leadership ensures that digital transformation contributes to academic quality and inclusive access to learning opportunities (Bond et al., 2020).

Organizational culture represents a crucial dimension of sustainable innovation in higher education. Transformative leaders cultivate values that emphasize collaboration, creativity, and

continuous learning. Academic communities operating within such cultures demonstrate stronger resilience during periods of change. Institutional members develop confidence in experimenting with new teaching strategies, research partnerships, and community engagement models. Leadership that promotes openness and intellectual dialogue contributes to the emergence of innovation ecosystems within universities.

The relationship between transformative leadership and institutional innovation reflects the interaction between strategic vision, collaborative governance, and academic empowerment. Universities that adopt transformative leadership approaches demonstrate greater capacity to respond to evolving educational challenges. Institutional innovation becomes embedded in everyday academic practices through collaborative engagement among faculty members, students, and administrators. Leadership vision, participatory governance, and interdisciplinary collaboration collectively strengthen the institutional foundation for sustainable innovation in higher education.

Empowerment of Academic Communities

Empowerment represents a central dimension of transformative educational leadership within higher education institutions. Academic communities consist of faculty members, administrative staff, researchers, and students who collectively contribute to the intellectual vitality of universities. Leadership practices that emphasize empowerment create conditions where these actors can actively participate in institutional transformation. Empowerment encourages autonomy, intellectual exploration, and collaborative engagement across academic environments. Faculty members and staff gain the freedom to experiment with innovative teaching strategies, research approaches, and community engagement initiatives that strengthen institutional relevance and academic quality (Kezar & Holcombe, 2017).

Transformative leaders support empowerment through the development of inclusive academic cultures. Such cultures value intellectual diversity, professional trust, and collaborative knowledge production. Faculty autonomy becomes an important element in enabling creative pedagogical practices and interdisciplinary research. Academic staff who experience institutional trust tend to demonstrate stronger motivation to contribute to curriculum innovation, research collaboration, and academic service. Leadership practices that encourage professional dialogue

and shared learning contribute to the development of environments where innovation emerges from collective academic engagement (Tight, 2020).

Professional development initiatives represent another mechanism through which empowerment can be strengthened in universities. Capacity building programs enable faculty members and staff to develop competencies required for contemporary academic environments. Universities that prioritize empowerment often invest in research mentorship programs, digital pedagogy training, and interdisciplinary research networks. Mentorship programs provide opportunities for early career academics to learn from experienced scholars, develop research skills, and navigate the complexities of academic careers. Professional learning initiatives contribute to stronger institutional capacity for innovation by supporting continuous intellectual growth among academic communities (O'Meara et al., 2019).

Digital transformation in higher education also highlights the importance of empowering faculty members to adapt to evolving learning environments. Online teaching platforms, open educational resources, and digital collaboration tools require new pedagogical competencies. Universities that support digital capacity development among faculty members demonstrate stronger readiness to integrate technological innovation into teaching and research practices. Transformative leadership encourages academic staff to explore digital learning models, collaborative virtual research projects, and flexible teaching approaches that respond to contemporary student needs (Castañeda & Selwyn, 2018).

Empowerment within universities extends beyond faculty and staff. Students play a significant role in shaping institutional innovation ecosystems. Transformative leaders recognize students as active contributors to academic development rather than passive recipients of knowledge. Student engagement in research projects, innovation laboratories, and entrepreneurial initiatives strengthens the connection between academic learning and real world problem solving. Participation in such initiatives allows students to apply theoretical knowledge in practical contexts while contributing creative ideas to institutional innovation processes (Healey et al., 2014).

Student participation in research and innovation initiatives also contributes to the development of collaborative academic cultures. Universities that promote student involvement in research groups and interdisciplinary projects create learning environments characterized by

inquiry and creativity. Students develop critical thinking, problem solving skills, and collaborative competencies through engagement in authentic research activities. These experiences strengthen the intellectual vibrancy of universities and contribute to a culture where innovation emerges through dialogue between faculty members and students (Bovill, 2020).

Institutional empowerment also requires leadership commitment to equitable participation across academic communities. Inclusive leadership practices encourage participation from diverse disciplinary backgrounds, career stages, and cultural perspectives. Academic environments that support inclusive engagement demonstrate stronger resilience and adaptability. Diverse perspectives enrich institutional dialogue and contribute to the generation of innovative solutions to complex educational challenges.

Transformative educational leadership positions empowerment as a foundation for sustainable institutional innovation. Academic communities that experience autonomy, professional support, and collaborative opportunities develop stronger commitment to institutional development. Faculty members, staff, and students become active partners in shaping the future of universities. Empowerment strengthens intellectual creativity, collaborative learning, and interdisciplinary exploration. Universities that cultivate empowered academic communities demonstrate greater capacity to sustain innovation, respond to emerging global challenges, and maintain academic excellence.

Digital Transformation and Institutional Adaptation

Digital technology increasingly reshapes the structure and operation of higher education institutions. Universities operate within a knowledge ecosystem where digital platforms, data analytics, and networked communication influence teaching, research, and academic collaboration. Learning management systems, open educational resources, and virtual collaboration environments support new forms of knowledge creation and dissemination. Academic institutions adopt these technologies in order to enhance access to learning, strengthen research productivity, and expand global academic partnerships. Digital transformation becomes an essential element of institutional adaptation within contemporary higher education environments (Zawacki-Richter & Jung, 2023).

TORIQIYAH: Journal of Educational Approaches
ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX
Vol 1 No 1 (2026): April 2026
https://journal.eduglobal.ac.id/index.php/toriqiyah/index
Email: toriqiyaheditor@gmail.com

Transformative educational leadership plays a critical role in guiding universities through digital transformation processes. Institutional leaders establish strategic directions that align digital innovation with academic missions and organizational values. Digital technology alone does not guarantee meaningful transformation. Leadership vision determines how technological adoption contributes to pedagogical improvement, research collaboration, and community engagement. Universities that integrate digital transformation into institutional planning often demonstrate stronger adaptability to rapid technological change and evolving educational expectations (Kohnke & Moorhouse, 2022).

Strategic planning represents a crucial component of successful digital transformation. Universities require coherent policies that connect digital infrastructure development with teaching innovation and research advancement. Institutional leaders guide the allocation of financial resources, professional development programs, and technological investments that support digital learning environments. Strategic planning also includes the development of institutional frameworks for data governance, digital ethics, and online academic collaboration. Such frameworks strengthen the sustainability of digital innovation within academic organizations (Bond et al., 2021).

Organizational readiness influences the effectiveness of digital transformation in higher education institutions. Academic staff, administrators, and students must possess the competencies required to utilize digital tools in meaningful ways. Professional development initiatives support faculty members in developing digital pedagogical skills and instructional design capabilities. Training programs encourage educators to integrate multimedia learning materials, interactive learning platforms, and collaborative online environments into their teaching practices. Institutional readiness emerges through the combination of technological infrastructure, professional capacity development, and supportive leadership practices (Kirkwood & Price, 2014).

Transformative leaders facilitate collaboration across institutional units during digital transformation processes. Academic departments, information technology units, libraries, and administrative offices contribute distinct expertise to digital initiatives. Collaborative governance enables universities to design integrated digital ecosystems that support research, teaching, and student services. Digital research platforms, virtual laboratories, and interdisciplinary

collaboration tools illustrate how technological integration supports innovation across academic functions. Institutional innovation becomes visible when digital technologies strengthen communication, knowledge exchange, and interdisciplinary collaboration among academic communities (Veletsianos & Houlden, 2019).

Blended learning models represent a significant outcome of digital transformation in higher education. Blended learning environments combine face to face interaction with digital learning resources and online collaboration. These models expand flexibility for students while maintaining meaningful academic engagement. Transformative leadership encourages faculty members to explore innovative instructional designs that combine digital platforms with active learning strategies. Universities adopting blended learning approaches often report improvements in student engagement, learning accessibility, and instructional innovation (Graham, 2019).

Organizational culture also influences the sustainability of digital innovation. Academic institutions characterized by openness, experimentation, and collaborative learning tend to demonstrate stronger capacity for technological adaptation. Transformative leaders cultivate cultures that encourage intellectual curiosity and continuous improvement. Faculty members and students become more confident in exploring emerging technologies and digital learning approaches. Such cultural conditions support the long term integration of digital innovation within institutional practices.

Institutional adaptation within digital environments involves continuous learning and reflective practice. Universities evaluate technological initiatives through feedback from faculty members, students, and academic administrators. Evaluation processes enable institutions to refine digital strategies and strengthen academic quality. Transformative educational leadership supports this process by encouraging dialogue, reflection, and collaborative problem solving across institutional communities.

Digital transformation within higher education extends beyond technological infrastructure. Institutional adaptation requires alignment between leadership vision, organizational culture, and collaborative academic engagement. Transformative leaders guide universities toward responsible technological integration while preserving core academic values. Universities that cultivate

adaptive leadership, collaborative governance, and digitally competent academic communities demonstrate stronger capacity to sustain innovation in rapidly evolving educational landscapes.

Institutional Culture and Sustainable Innovation

Institutional culture plays a crucial role in shaping the sustainability of innovation within higher education institutions. Universities operate as complex organizations where shared values, norms, and practices influence how academic communities respond to change. Innovation becomes sustainable when it is embedded within institutional culture rather than treated as a temporary initiative or administrative program. Cultural orientations that emphasize intellectual curiosity, openness to new ideas, and collaborative knowledge creation encourage members of the academic community to engage actively in institutional transformation. Such environments nurture creativity and experimentation in teaching, research, and community engagement activities (Tierney & Lanford, 2016).

Transformative educational leadership contributes significantly to the cultivation of innovation oriented institutional cultures. Leaders influence cultural development through the articulation of shared vision, promotion of academic dialogue, and support for collaborative learning environments. Leadership practices grounded in trust, transparency, and intellectual engagement encourage faculty members and students to participate in processes of knowledge exploration. Academic communities operating within supportive cultural environments often demonstrate higher levels of motivation to pursue innovative research projects and pedagogical experimentation (Shields, 2019).

Universities that sustain innovative cultures typically demonstrate strong organizational learning capabilities. Organizational learning refers to the capacity of institutions to reflect on experiences, adapt to new knowledge, and continuously improve institutional practices. Academic institutions develop this capacity through research collaboration, reflective teaching practices, and interdisciplinary engagement. Institutional culture that values inquiry and dialogue supports the circulation of knowledge across departments and research groups. Knowledge sharing strengthens collective understanding and promotes the emergence of innovative solutions to complex academic challenges (Argote & Miron-Spektor, 2011).

Periods of disruption often test the resilience of institutional culture. Global crises, technological disruption, and shifts in educational policy require universities to respond with adaptive strategies. Institutions that cultivate innovation oriented cultures demonstrate greater resilience when facing uncertainty. Academic communities become capable of adjusting pedagogical methods, research priorities, and collaborative networks in response to changing circumstances. Cultural values that emphasize flexibility, creativity, and shared responsibility strengthen institutional capacity to navigate such challenges (Cai, 2017).

Transformative leaders guide universities toward adaptive institutional strategies while maintaining academic quality and ethical responsibility. Academic leadership involves balancing the pursuit of innovation with the preservation of academic standards and scholarly integrity. Universities operate within social and ethical frameworks that shape research practices, educational delivery, and community engagement. Leadership that promotes responsible innovation ensures that institutional change aligns with broader societal values and ethical considerations. Such leadership practices contribute to the development of sustainable academic ecosystems where innovation supports both institutional advancement and social contribution (Stensaker et al., 2019).

Collaboration among academic communities also strengthens the relationship between institutional culture and sustainable innovation. Faculty members, researchers, and students contribute diverse perspectives that enrich intellectual dialogue within universities. Interdisciplinary collaboration encourages the integration of knowledge from multiple academic domains. Shared research initiatives, collaborative learning environments, and innovation laboratories provide platforms where scholars exchange ideas and develop creative solutions. These collaborative structures strengthen the institutional capacity for continuous innovation and knowledge production (Taylor, 2022).

Organizational learning processes also contribute to long term innovation capacity. Universities that support reflective evaluation of institutional practices often demonstrate stronger adaptability. Continuous assessment of teaching methods, research impact, and institutional policies allows academic communities to identify areas for improvement and develop new

strategies for institutional growth. Transformative leaders facilitate such processes by encouraging open communication and reflective discussion across institutional structures.

The integration of leadership vision, collaborative academic culture, and organizational learning shapes the long term sustainability of innovation in higher education. Institutional culture functions as a foundation that supports experimentation, intellectual exploration, and collaborative knowledge creation. Universities that cultivate supportive cultural environments strengthen their capacity to adapt to global challenges while maintaining academic excellence. Sustainable innovation emerges through the interaction between leadership practices, academic collaboration, and continuous institutional learning.

CONCLUSION

Transformative educational leadership functions as a critical catalyst for strengthening institutional innovation in higher education. Universities operate within rapidly evolving knowledge ecosystems that demand adaptive strategies, collaborative engagement, and continuous learning. Leadership grounded in shared vision, participatory governance, and academic empowerment creates conditions where innovation can flourish across teaching, research, and community engagement. Academic leaders who encourage interdisciplinary collaboration and intellectual dialogue foster environments that support experimentation, creative inquiry, and knowledge integration. Empowerment of faculty members, staff, and students also strengthens institutional capacity to generate new ideas and respond to emerging educational challenges. Digital transformation further expands the potential for innovation when leadership aligns technological integration with pedagogical values and academic missions. Universities that cultivate supportive academic cultures demonstrate stronger readiness to implement new approaches to learning, research collaboration, and institutional development.

Sustainable institutional innovation emerges through the interaction between leadership vision, organizational culture, and collaborative academic engagement. Transformative leaders guide universities toward adaptive strategies that balance innovation with academic integrity and social responsibility. Institutional culture that values openness, intellectual curiosity, and collective learning enables universities to remain resilient in periods of disruption and technological change.

Continuous organizational learning strengthens the ability of academic institutions to refine strategies and improve educational practices over time. Higher education institutions that adopt transformative leadership approaches are better positioned to enhance institutional relevance and long term academic sustainability. Such leadership practices contribute to the development of universities that not only respond to global educational challenges but also actively shape future knowledge ecosystems and societal advancement.

REFERENCE

Altbach, P. G., Reisberg, L., & Rumbley, L. E. (2019). Trends in global higher education: Tracking an academic revolution. *Higher Education*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-018-0294-9

Argote, L., & Miron-Spektor, E. (2011). Organizational learning: From experience to knowledge. *Organization Science, 22*(5), 1123–1137. https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1100.0621

Barnett, R. (2022). The ecological university and the rise of knowledge ecosystems. *Higher Education Quarterly, 76*(2), 235–247. https://doi.org/10.1111/hequ.12325

Bolden, R., Petrov, G., & Gosling, J. (2015). Distributed leadership in higher education. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 43*(5), 670–694. https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143214549973

Bond, M., Buntins, K., Bedenlier, S., Zawacki-Richter, O., & Kerres, M. (2020). Mapping research in student engagement and educational technology in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 17*(2). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0176-8

Bond, M., Marín, V. I., Dolch, C., Bedenlier, S., & Zawacki-Richter, O. (2021). Digital transformation in German higher education: Student and teacher perceptions and usage of digital media. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 18*(48). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00279-6

Bovill, C. (2020). Co-creation in learning and teaching: The case for a whole-class approach in higher education. *Higher Education, 79*(6), 1023–1037. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-019-00453-w

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). To saturate or not to saturate? Questioning data saturation as a useful concept for thematic analysis. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health, 13*(2), 201–216. https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2019.1704846

Burns, J. M. (2018). Leadership and transformation in educational institutions. *Leadership Quarterly, 29*(1), 76–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2017.10.001

Cai, Y. (2017). Institutional culture and university innovation systems. *Studies in Higher Education, 42*(3), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2015.1045478

Castañeda, L., & Selwyn, N. (2018). More than tools? Making sense of the ongoing digitization of higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 15*(22). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-018-0109-y

Etzkowitz, H., & Zhou, C. (2018). The triple helix: University–industry–government innovation model. *Industry and Higher Education, 32*(2), 89–104. https://doi.org/10.1177/0950422218761549

Frodeman, R. (2017). The future of interdisciplinarity. *Issues in Interdisciplinary Studies, 35*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/02604027.2017.1356221

Ghasemy, M., Hussin, S., Daud, M. A., & Nor, M. Y. M. (2021). Transformational leadership and organizational innovation in higher education institutions. *Studies in Higher Education, 46*(10), 2060–2074. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2020.1723534

Graham, C. R. (2019). Current research in blended learning. *Handbook of Distance Education*. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315296135

Healey, M., Flint, A., & Harrington, K. (2014). Engagement through partnership: Students as partners in learning and teaching in higher education. *Higher Education Academy*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-014-9787-0

Jones, G. A., LeBaron, G., & Sheeran, N. (2014). The development of distributed leadership in higher education. *Higher Education Research & Development, 33*(6), 1199–1213. https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2014.911252

Kezar, A., & Holcombe, E. (2017). Shared leadership in higher education. *American Council on Education*. https://doi.org/10.1002/ir.20232

Kirkwood, A., & Price, L. (2014). Technology enhanced learning and teaching in higher education. *Learning, Media and Technology, 39*(1), 6–36. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2013.770404

Kohnke, L., & Moorhouse, B. L. (2022). Facilitating synchronous online language learning through Zoom. *Computers and Education Open, 3*, 100086. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeo.2022.100086

Northouse, P. G. (2022). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (9th ed.). Sage Publications.

O'Meara, K., Hall, A., & Carman, C. (2019). Pressures, practices, and policies that influence faculty agency in research universities. *Review of Higher Education, 42*(2), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1353/rhe.2019.0002

Selwyn, N. (2022). Digital technologies and the transformation of higher education. *Learning, Media and Technology, 47*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2021.2012636

Shields, C. M. (2019). Transformative leadership in education: Equitable change in an uncertain world. *Educational Administration Quarterly, 55*(4), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X19840373

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research, 104*, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

Stensaker, B., Maassen, P., Borgan, M., Oftebro, M., & Karseth, B. (2019). Use, updating and integration of quality systems in higher education institutions. *Quality in Higher Education, 25*(2), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/13538322.2019.1603613

Taylor, M. (2022). Interdisciplinary collaboration and innovation in higher education. *Higher Education Research & Development, 41*(6), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2021.2011686

Tierney, W. G., & Lanford, M. (2016). Conceptualizing innovation in higher education. *Higher Education: Handbook of Theory and Research, 31*, 1–40. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-26829-3_1

Tight, M. (2020). Student engagement and educational development in higher education. *Higher Education Research & Development, 39*(5), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2019.1685943

Veletsianos, G., & Houlden, S. (2019). An analysis of flexible learning and digital transformation in higher education. *Distance Education, 40*(4), 454–468. https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2019.1681891

Xiao, Y., & Watson, M. (2019). Guidance on conducting a systematic literature review. *Journal of Planning Education and Research, 39*(1), 93–112. https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X17723971

Zawacki-Richter, O., & Jung, I. (2023). *Handbook of open, distance and digital education*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-0351-9